

Review of compliance

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Addenbrooke's Hospital and the Rosie Hospital	
Region:	East
Location address:	Addenbrooke's Hospital Hills Road Cambridge Cambridgeshire CB2 0QQ
Type of service:	Acute services with overnight beds Diagnostic and/or screening service Hospital services for people with mental health needs, learning disabilities and problems with substance misuse
Date the review was completed:	March 2012
Overview of the service:	Addenbrooke's Hospital and the Rosie Maternity Hospital are two separate NHS hospitals that provide a range of services, including termination of pregnancy.

Summary of our findings for the essential standards of quality and safety

What we found overall

Addenbrooke's Hospital and the Rosie Maternity Hospital were meeting the essential standards of quality and safety.

The summary below describes why we carried out the review, what we found and any action required.

Why we carried out this review

This review is part of a targeted inspection programme to services that provide the regulated activity of terminations of pregnancy. The focus of our visit was to assess the use of the forms that are used to certify the grounds under which a termination of pregnancy may lawfully take place.

How we carried out this review

We carried out a visit on 21 March 2012. We checked the provider's records and looked at medical records relating to termination of pregnancy services provided.

What people told us

We did not speak to people who used this service as part of this review. We looked at a random sample of medical records. This was to check that current practice ensured that no treatment for the termination of pregnancy was commenced unless two certificated opinions from doctors had been obtained.

What we found about the standards we reviewed and how well Addenbrooke's Hospital and the Rosie Maternity were meeting them

Outcome 21: People's personal records, including medical records, should be accurate and kept safe and confidential

We found that the registered provider met the part of the regulation which was the subject of this review in relation to the maintenance of HSA1 forms.

No action has been identified

What we found
for each essential standard of quality
and safety we reviewed

The following pages detail our findings and our regulatory judgement for each essential standard and outcome that we reviewed, linked to specific regulated activities where appropriate.

We will have reached one of the following judgements for each essential standard:

Compliant means that people who use services are experiencing the outcomes relating to the essential standard.

A **minor concern** means that people who use services are safe but are not always experiencing the outcomes relating to this essential standard.

A **moderate concern** means that people who use services are safe but are not always experiencing the outcomes relating to this essential standard and there is an impact on their health and wellbeing because of this.

A **major concern** means that people who use services are not experiencing the outcomes relating to this essential standard and are not protected from unsafe or inappropriate care, treatment and support.

Where we identify compliance, no further action is taken. Where we have concerns, the most appropriate action is taken to ensure that the necessary improvements are made. Where there are a number of concerns, we may look at them together to decide the level of action to take.

More information about each of the outcomes can be found in the *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*.

Outcome 21: Records

What the outcome says

People who use services can be confident that:

- * Their personal records including medical records are accurate, fit for purpose, held securely and remain confidential.
- * Other records required to be kept to protect their safety and well being are maintained and held securely where required.

What we found

Our judgement
The provider is compliant with Outcome 21: Records

Our findings
<p>What people who use the service experienced and told us We did not speak with people who used the service as part of this review.</p> <p>Other evidence Section 1 (1) of the Abortion Act 1967 (as amended) and the Abortion Regulations 1991 (as amended) require that two doctors provide a certificated opinion, formed in good faith, that at least one and the same ground for a termination of pregnancy as set out in the Act, is met.</p> <p>These opinions have to be given in a certificated form as set out in the Regulations and must be given before the commencement of the treatment for the termination of pregnancy, except in the specified circumstances set out in the Act.</p> <p>One of the ways in which the Regulations provide for doctors to certify this opinion is in an HSA1 form. If using the HSA1 form, both of the certifying doctors must complete the form as required and sign and date the certificate. The opinion of each doctor is required to relate to the circumstances of the individual person's case.</p> <p>During our visit, we looked at a random sample of medical records for six people who had undergone a termination of pregnancy at Addenbrooke's Hospital and the Rosie maternity unit. The records dated from 22 February 2012 to 21 March 2012. We also selected six records for people who had been accepted for the procedure.</p>

In each case, we looked at the certificate completed and the other records for that person. We found that records identified why the person was suitable for the procedure under the Abortion Act 1967. We found no evidence that the form known as the HAS1 Certificate had been pre signed by doctors. For each record examined, two certifying doctors had signed and dated the certificate on the date of assessment. Some people had been referred by their own GP who had signed the form and then referred them for a further consultation at the hospital where a second doctor had signed the form. We did not identify any missing data, although during our feedback we stated that some records were very difficult to audit because information relating to the termination of pregnancy were in different parts of the same file, which may have contained information about other hospital admissions.

We looked at policies and procedures relating to the termination of pregnancy and spoke with the clinical nurse, nursing sister and lead consultant. They were able to clearly describe the pathway people would follow from the initial consultation through to the procedure and aftercare.

Judgement

We found that the registered provider met the part of the regulation which was the subject of this review in relation to the maintenance of HSA1 forms.

What is a review of compliance?

By law, providers of certain adult social care and health care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The CQC has written guidance about what people who use services should experience when providers are meeting essential standards, called *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*.

CQC licenses services if they meet essential standards and will constantly monitor whether they continue to do so. We formally review services when we receive information that is of concern and as a result decide we need to check whether a service is still meeting one or more of the essential standards. We also formally review them at least every two years to check whether a service is meeting all of the essential standards in each of their locations. Our reviews include checking all available information and intelligence we hold about a provider. We may seek further information by contacting people who use services, public representative groups and organisations such as other regulators. We may also ask for further information from the provider and carry out a visit with direct observations of care.

When making our judgements about whether services are meeting essential standards, we decide whether we need to take further regulatory action. This might include discussions with the provider about how they could improve. We only use this approach where issues can be resolved quickly, easily and where there is no immediate risk of serious harm to people.

Where we have concerns that providers are not meeting essential standards, or where we judge that they are not going to keep meeting them, we may also set improvement actions or compliance actions, or take enforcement action:

Improvement actions: These are actions a provider should take so that they **maintain** continuous compliance with essential standards. Where a provider is complying with essential standards, but we are concerned that they will not be able to maintain this, we ask them to send us a report describing the improvements they will make to enable them to do so.

Compliance actions: These are actions a provider must take so that they **achieve** compliance with the essential standards. Where a provider is not meeting the essential standards but people are not at immediate risk of serious harm, we ask them to send us a report that says what they will do to make sure they comply. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action to make sure that essential standards are met.

Enforcement action: These are actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Adult Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers are set out in the law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

Information for the reader

Document purpose	Review of compliance report
Author	Care Quality Commission
Audience	The general public
Further copies from	03000 616161 / www.cqc.org.uk
Copyright	Copyright © (2010) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.

Care Quality Commission

Website	www.cqc.org.uk
Telephone	03000 616161
Email address	enquiries@cqc.org.uk
Postal address	Care Quality Commission Citygate Gallowgate Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4PA