

Inspection Report

We are the regulator: Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.

London Ultrasound Centre

112 Harley Street, London, W1G 7JQ Tel: 02079083878

Date of Inspection: 13 December 2013 Date of Publication: January

2014

We inspected the following standards as part of a routine inspection. This is what we found:		
Consent to care and treatment	Met this standard	
Care and welfare of people who use services	Met this standard	
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	✓ Met this standard	
Requirements relating to workers	Met this standard	
Complaints	✓ Met this standard	

Details about this location

Registered Provider	The London Ultrasound Centre Limited
Registered Manager	Mr. Peter Alexander Demetris
Overview of the service	The London Ultrasound clinic is a private clinic offering pregnancy scans to women. The practice consists of two scanning rooms and a consultation room and is located on Harley Street in central London.
Type of service	Diagnostic and/or screening service
Regulated activity	Diagnostic and screening procedures

Contents

When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'.

	Page
Summary of this inspection:	
Why we carried out this inspection	4
How we carried out this inspection	4
What people told us and what we found	4
More information about the provider	4
Our judgements for each standard inspected:	
Consent to care and treatment	5
Care and welfare of people who use services	6
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	7
Requirements relating to workers	8
Complaints	9
About CQC Inspections	10
How we define our judgements	11
Glossary of terms we use in this report	13
Contact us	15

Summary of this inspection

Why we carried out this inspection

This was a routine inspection to check that essential standards of quality and safety referred to on the front page were being met. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

This was an unannounced inspection.

How we carried out this inspection

We looked at the personal care or treatment records of people who use the service, carried out a visit on 13 December 2013, talked with people who use the service and talked with staff.

What people told us and what we found

We spoke with two people who used the service and looked at the results of feedback surveys from 2013. People were satisfied with the care and treatment received. They felt that procedures had been explained well. Verbal consent was obtained by the doctor for non-invasive scans and written consent was obtained for invasive scans.

Care was planned in a way to ensure people's safety. People were seen by the doctor who assessed whether scanning would be suitable. People were given advice if needed. There were procedures in place to deal with medical emergencies.

The clinic had appropriate policies for safeguarding vulnerable adults. Staff had not received formalised training in safeguarding, but the practice manager confirmed that they had discussed safeguarding in team meetings including identifying signs of abuse.

There was a complaints policy in place and people were given information on how to make a complaint if required. The people we spoke with and the results from feedback forms were complimentary about staff.

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

More information about the provider

Please see our website www.cqc.org.uk for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

Our judgements for each standard inspected

Consent to care and treatment

~

Met this standard

Before people are given any examination, care, treatment or support, they should be asked if they agree to it

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

Before people received any care or treatment they were asked for their consent and the provider acted in accordance with their wishes.

Reasons for our judgement

Before people had their scan the procedure was explained to them and the provider ensured that the person was happy with this. We spoke with the practice manager who explained that people were referred to the clinic by a registered practitioner who was usually their General Practitioner (GP). People filled in a new patient form prior to their consultation with the doctor. The doctor explained the procedure to the person and asked any other relevant questions which included taking a full medical history. People gave the details of their GP and staff at the clinic ensured that they had people's consent before contacting the GP.

The practice manager explained the "double checks" which were conducted to ensure that written consent had been obtained where necessary. The manager explained that where written consent was required, the form was handed to administrative staff who ensured that the form had been signed. The manager told us they scanned the form onto the computer system and that the manager personally ensured that the form had been scanned prior to being shredded.

We spoke with two people who used the service. They told us that they felt all explanations of treatments were clear and they had been asked for their consent before treatment was delivered.

Care and welfare of people who use services



Met this standard

People should get safe and appropriate care that meets their needs and supports their rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

Care and treatment was planned and delivered in a way that was intended to ensure people's safety and welfare.

Reasons for our judgement

Care and treatment was planned and delivered in a way that was intended to ensure people's safety and welfare. The clinic was sent referral information from the person's GP and this was discussed with the person prior to any tests being undertaken.

All people were assessed by the consultant who determined whether it would be safe for them to have a scan taken. People confirmed whether they had any allergies and we were told that this was recorded on their records. We spoke with two people who used the service and read several feedback forms. One person said that the doctor makes them feel "at ease".

There were procedures in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies. All staff had received basic life support training and we saw records of this. Emergency drugs and oxygen were available to the clinic and this was checked every week. We saw records of checks undertaken and saw that these were up to date. The emergency drugs and equipment were stored at the organisation's partner practice which was located directly opposite the clinic on Harley Street.

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse



Met this standard

People should be protected from abuse and staff should respect their human rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People who use the service were protected from the risk of abuse, because the provider had taken reasonable steps to identify the possibility of abuse and prevent abuse from happening.

Reasons for our judgement

We spoke with the practice manager about safeguarding and he gave us examples of types of abuse and potential signs of abuse. Staff had not received training on safeguarding, but the practice manager explained that he was in the process of arranging this. The practice manager also confirmed that staff had discussed safeguarding at team meetings and this included discussing identifying signs of abuse and how to report abuse.

We were shown a policy on safeguarding vulnerable adults which included steps for staff to take in reporting a concern and who to contact. At the time of our visit the practice manager confirmed that there had never been any safeguarding concerns at the clinic.

Requirements relating to workers



Met this standard

People should be cared for by staff who are properly qualified and able to do their job

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People were cared for, or supported by, suitably qualified, skilled and experienced staff.

Reasons for our judgement

There were effective recruitment and selection processes in place. Staff were interviewed by the practice manager and were required to provide two references before an offer of employment was made.

Staff were required to undergo a Disclosure and Barring check (formerly a Criminal Records Bureau check) before working at the clinic. Clinical staff were also required to provide evidence of their professional qualifications and registration. We saw records of pre- employment checks that were undertaken and saw that all appropriate checks were undertaken.

Complaints



Met this standard

People should have their complaints listened to and acted on properly

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

There was an effective complaints system available. Comments and complaints people made were responded to appropriately.

Reasons for our judgement

The practice manager explained the complaints process to us. The manager explained that complaints were acknowledged immediately, investigated and responded to within fourteen days. People were encouraged to ask questions and feedback forms were provided to people for a period of time, every year.

We saw examples of complaints received and saw they had been responded to promptly and settled to the satisfaction of patients. However, the provider may wish to note that they did not have a written complaints policy.

About CQC inspections

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of other services less often. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.

You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.

How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

Met this standard

This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.

X Action needed

This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.

Enforcement action taken

If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. Only where there is non compliance with one or more of Regulations 9-24 of the Regulated Activity Regulations, will our report include a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation). This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

Minor impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

Moderate impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

Major impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

Glossary of terms we use in this report

Essential standard

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

Regulated activity

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

(Registered) Provider

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

Regulations

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

Responsive inspection

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

Routine inspection

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

Themed inspection

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

Contact us

Phone:	03000 616161
Email:	enquiries@cqc.org.uk
Write to us at:	Care Quality Commission Citygate
	Gallowgate Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4PA
Website:	www.cqc.org.uk

Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.