

We are the regulator: Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.

Peter Hartley - Dental Surgery

409 Wakefield Road, Dalton, Huddersfield, HD5
8DB

Tel: 01484422592

Date of Inspection: 13 June 2013

Date of Publication:
September 2013

We inspected the following standards as part of a routine inspection. This is what we found:

Consent to care and treatment	✓ Met this standard
Care and welfare of people who use services	✓ Met this standard
Cleanliness and infection control	✓ Met this standard
Staffing	✓ Met this standard
Complaints	✓ Met this standard

Details about this location

Registered Provider	Dr. Peter Hartley
Overview of the service	Peter Hartley ? Dental Surgery is situated opposite the Tolson Museum, on Wakefield Road in Huddersfield and provides NHS dental care for adults and children. Some private treatments are available if these are required by people using the service.
Type of service	Dental service
Regulated activities	Diagnostic and screening procedures Surgical procedures Treatment of disease, disorder or injury

Contents

When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'.

	Page
Summary of this inspection:	
Why we carried out this inspection	4
How we carried out this inspection	4
What people told us and what we found	4
More information about the provider	4
Our judgements for each standard inspected:	
Consent to care and treatment	5
Care and welfare of people who use services	6
Cleanliness and infection control	7
Staffing	8
Complaints	9
About CQC Inspections	10
How we define our judgements	11
Glossary of terms we use in this report	13
Contact us	15

Summary of this inspection

Why we carried out this inspection

This was a routine inspection to check that essential standards of quality and safety referred to on the front page were being met. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

This was an announced inspection.

How we carried out this inspection

We looked at the personal care or treatment records of people who use the service, carried out a visit on 13 June 2013, talked with people who use the service and talked with staff.

What people told us and what we found

We spoke with four people who used the service and these are some of the things they told us:

"I am really happy to come to this dentist, they are very gentle."

"I have been coming here for years and I am very happy with the practice."

"The dentist always explains everything to me."

"The staff are always very helpful."

"The staff are very good and I have never had a problem getting an appointment, even as an emergency."

We found the practice was friendly and the staff were helpful. The dental surgery was clean and the dental nurse was able to explain the infection control procedures.

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

More information about the provider

Please see our website www.cqc.org.uk for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

Our judgements for each standard inspected

Consent to care and treatment

✓ Met this standard

Before people are given any examination, care, treatment or support, they should be asked if they agree to it

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

Before people received any care or treatment they were asked for their consent and the provider acted in accordance with their wishes.

Reasons for our judgement

Before people received any care or treatment, they were asked for their consent and the provider acted in accordance with their wishes.

We saw from the records we looked at that when possible, people had been given different treatment options and there was evidence these had been discussed with them and they had understood the options available. People we spoke with told us that their treatment was always fully discussed with them. If people had to pay towards their treatment we saw they were given a written treatment plan that also detailed the charges.

We looked at six care records which included four adults and two children. They showed treatment had been discussed with the patient or parent, and consent had been sought.

People should get safe and appropriate care that meets their needs and supports their rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People experienced care, treatment and support that met their needs and protected their rights.

Reasons for our judgement

Care and treatment was planned and delivered in a way that was intended to ensure people's safety and welfare. We looked at six records of patients registered with this practice. We saw they all contained information about the treatment provided and what information and advice had been given.

The dentist told us that the information they held about the person's medical history was checked on every visit to make sure it was still correct, people we spoke with confirmed that this happened. When we looked at the records we saw that any medical alerts, such as allergies or specific medical conditions were contained within the notes. This meant that the dentist was quickly alerted to any potential problems.

There were arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable medical emergencies and resuscitation. Resuscitation drugs and equipment for airway management and an automated external defibrillator (AED) were available for use at the practice. Staff employed at the practice had received training in basic life support, medical emergencies and oxygen therapy. However, the provider may wish to note that this training needed to be updated.

People should be cared for in a clean environment and protected from the risk of infection

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People were protected from the risk of infection because appropriate guidance had been followed.

Reasons for our judgement

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. The senior dentist showed us around and we saw that patient waiting areas and treatment rooms were visibly clean, tidy and free from clutter.

The dental nurse showed us the decontamination room and explained the procedure for the decontamination of instruments. Decontamination is required in order to minimise the risk of cross-infection between patients and between patients and staff. The description for the decontamination and sterilisation processes was explained and shown to us as per Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 (HTM 01-05): Decontamination in Primary Care Dental Practices. We saw the equipment used for decontamination was checked frequently to ensure the equipment was working correctly and records were maintained.

Policies and procedures for infection control were in place. The dental nurse told us about the daily, weekly and monthly cleaning that was carried out on dental equipment and within all areas of the dental practice. However, the provider may wish to note that none of the cleaning regimes were being documented.

The dental nurse told us about the cleaning regime that took place between patient examinations that included wiping down work surfaces, lights and chairs. Personal protective equipment was available for all staff to use. For example, eye protectors, plastic gloves and aprons. We saw that waste, including clinical waste was segregated and disposed of correctly.

Staffing

✓ Met this standard

There should be enough members of staff to keep people safe and meet their health and welfare needs

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

There were enough qualified, skilled and experienced staff to meet people's needs.

Reasons for our judgement

There were enough qualified, experienced staff to meet people's needs.

The staff team at the practice was made up of one dentist, two part time dental nurses and a receptionist.

One the day of our visit one dentist, one dental nurse and the receptionist were working. We saw that people were not kept waiting very long and that the dentist had support from the dental nurse.

If the dentist was not available, for example through illness, the practice had made arrangements for other dentists, from other practices, to cover any emergencies.

Staff told us that there was a very low turnover of staff and that they enjoy working at this practice.

Complaints

✓ Met this standard

People should have their complaints listened to and acted on properly

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

There was an effective complaints system available.

Reasons for our judgement

There was an effective complaints system available.

We saw that information about how to make a complaint was in the practice leaflet and on display in the reception area. However, the provider may wish to note that some of the information was out of date.

All four people we spoke with said they knew how to make a complaint should the need arise. The practice had not received any recent complaints. The dentist told us that there was a system in place to make sure that detail of any complaint, together with the action taken to resolve it and the outcome would be recorded.

About CQC inspections

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of other services less often. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.

You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.

How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

 **Met this standard** This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.

 **Action needed** This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.

 **Enforcement action taken** If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. Only where there is non compliance with one or more of Regulations 9-24 of the Regulated Activity Regulations, will our report include a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation). This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

Minor impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

Moderate impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

Major impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

Glossary of terms we use in this report

Essential standard

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

Regulated activity

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

(Registered) Provider

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

Regulations

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

Responsive inspection

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

Routine inspection

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

Themed inspection

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

Contact us

Phone: 03000 616161

Email: enquiries@ccq.org.uk

Write to us
at: Care Quality Commission
Citygate
Gallowgate
Newcastle upon Tyne
NE1 4PA

Website: www.cqc.org.uk

Copyright Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.
