

***We are the regulator:** Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.*

## Merton Park Dental Practice

143 Cannon Hill Lane, Merton Park, London,  
SW20 9BZ

Tel: 02085405474

Date of Inspection: 23 April 2013

Date of Publication: May  
2013

We inspected the following standards to check that action had been taken to meet them. This is what we found:

<b>Respecting and involving people who use services</b>	✓ Met this standard
<b>Care and welfare of people who use services</b>	✓ Met this standard
<b>Cleanliness and infection control</b>	✓ Met this standard
<b>Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision</b>	✓ Met this standard

## Details about this location

Registered Provider	Mr. Sandip Patel
Overview of the service	Merton Park Dental Practice provides dental services under a National Health Service contract. Some services are also available to be paid for privately for patients.
Type of service	Dental service
Regulated activities	Diagnostic and screening procedures Surgical procedures Treatment of disease, disorder or injury

## Contents

*When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'.*

	Page
<hr/>	
<b>Summary of this inspection:</b>	
Why we carried out this inspection	4
How we carried out this inspection	4
What people told us and what we found	4
More information about the provider	4
<hr/>	
<b>Our judgements for each standard inspected:</b>	
Respecting and involving people who use services	5
Care and welfare of people who use services	6
Cleanliness and infection control	7
Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision	8
<hr/>	
<b>About CQC Inspections</b>	9
<hr/>	
<b>How we define our judgements</b>	10
<hr/>	
<b>Glossary of terms we use in this report</b>	12
<hr/>	
<b>Contact us</b>	14

## Summary of this inspection

---

### Why we carried out this inspection

---

We carried out this inspection to check whether Merton Park Dental Practice had taken action to meet the following essential standards:

- Respecting and involving people who use services
- Care and welfare of people who use services
- Cleanliness and infection control
- Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision

This was an unannounced inspection.

---

### How we carried out this inspection

---

We looked at the personal care or treatment records of people who use the service, carried out a visit on 23 April 2013 and talked with staff.

---

### What people told us and what we found

---

We visited Merton Park Dental Practice to check that improvements had been made in relation to the concerns that we had raised at our last inspection. Our visit was unannounced and neither the dentist nor their nurse were there. However, we were able to talk to them both on the phone. The receptionist was able to show us where some improvements had been made. Since our inspection the dentist has provided us with other evidence to show that our concerns have been addressed.

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

---

### More information about the provider

---

Please see our website [www.cqc.org.uk](http://www.cqc.org.uk) for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

## Our judgements for each standard inspected

**Respecting and involving people who use services** ✓ Met this standard

People should be treated with respect, involved in discussions about their care and treatment and able to influence how the service is run

---

### Our judgement

---

The provider was meeting this standard.

People's privacy, dignity and independence were respected.

---

### Reasons for our judgement

---

People who use the service understood the care and treatment choices available to them. At our last visit we had judged that people were happy with the service provided by the dentist. They considered that they had received explanations regarding their proposed treatment and any charges that might be payable. However, we had raised concerns that their confidentiality might not always be protected. This was because their treatment records were not kept securely. We saw that they had just been stored in an unlocked cabinet in an unlocked room.

When we visited this time we saw that people's records were being stored in a lockable filing cabinet in the reception area, behind the receptionists' desk.

People should get safe and appropriate care that meets their needs and supports their rights

---

### Our judgement

---

The provider was meeting this standard.

People experienced care, treatment and support that met their needs and protected their rights.

---

### Reasons for our judgement

---

There were arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies. When we previously inspected this service we had raised concerns about people's safety in the event of an emergency. All of the emergency equipment and medication that we saw was date expired. We judged that this was a major concern and issued the dentist with a warning notice to address this.

The provider acted within the timescales that we set. They sent us copies of invoices to show that all of the equipment and medication had been replaced. At this visit we were able to see that this was all in place in the surgery. Since our visit we have also been sent a copy of a service agreement to show how the oxygen cylinder will be checked, maintained and replaced when necessary.

**People should be cared for in a clean environment and protected from the risk of infection**

---

**Our judgement**

---

The provider was meeting this standard.

People were protected from the risk of infection because appropriate guidance had been followed.

---

**Reasons for our judgement**

---

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. At our last inspection we had judged that there were shortfalls in the systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. Instruments were not being rinsed between washing and sterilising. We were not able to see that the equipment that was in use was being regularly checked or serviced. A lack of space meant that, between washing and sterilising, instruments were taken to another area. This was not being done in a suitable container. We were not able to see evidence of a full infection control audit having taken place.

The dentist and nurse were not present at this inspection. However, we were able to speak to them both on the phone. The nurse explained how their practice had changed since our last inspection in order to comply with current guidelines. We saw that there was now a covered container available to transport instruments. We saw evidence of daily autoclave checks having been undertaken. Since our visit the dentist has sent us a copy of their infection control audit. They have also sent us a copy of their service agreement for the sterilising equipment.

## Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision

✓ Met this standard

The service should have quality checking systems to manage risks and assure the health, welfare and safety of people who receive care

---

### Our judgement

---

The provider was meeting this standard.

The provider had an effective system to regularly assess and monitor the quality of service that people receive.

---

### Reasons for our judgement

---

People who use the service, their representatives and staff were asked for their views about their care and treatment and they were acted on. At our last inspection visit we had noted that there was no formal mechanism in place to ask people for their views about the care and treatment that were being provided. When we visited on this occasion we saw that there were some questionnaires on the desk for people to complete. However the receptionist explained that it was proving quite difficult to encourage people to fill them in. There was a complaints procedure in place. No complaints had been received since our last visit.

## About CQC inspections

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of other services less often. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.

You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.

## How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

**✓ Met this standard** This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.

**✗ Action needed** This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.

**✗ Enforcement action taken** If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

## How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. Only where there is non compliance with one or more of Regulations 9-24 of the Regulated Activity Regulations, will our report include a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation). This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

---

**Minor impact** – people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

---

**Moderate impact** – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

---

**Major impact** – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

---

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

## Glossary of terms we use in this report

### Essential standard

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

### Regulated activity

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

## Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

---

### **(Registered) Provider**

---

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

---

### **Regulations**

---

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

---

### **Responsive inspection**

---

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

---

### **Routine inspection**

---

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

---

### **Themed inspection**

---

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

## Contact us

---

Phone: 03000 616161

---

---

Email: [enquiries@cqc.org.uk](mailto:enquiries@cqc.org.uk)

---

---

Write to us  
at: Care Quality Commission  
Citygate  
Gallowgate  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
NE1 4PA

---

---

Website: [www.cqc.org.uk](http://www.cqc.org.uk)

---

---

Copyright Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.

---