

***We are the regulator:** Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.*

Bourne Hill Care Home

26 Bourne Hill, Palmers Green, London, N13 4LH

Tel: 02088866165

Date of Inspection: 04 January 2013

Date of Publication: January 2013

We inspected the following standards as part of a routine inspection. This is what we found:

Care and welfare of people who use services	✓ Met this standard
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	✓ Met this standard
Safety and suitability of premises	✓ Met this standard
Supporting workers	✓ Met this standard
Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision	✓ Met this standard

Details about this location

Registered Provider	Clo Clo Limited
Registered Manager	Mrs. Chandra Kumari Mewa
Overview of the service	Bourne hill is a home for five adults with a learning disability.
Type of service	Care home service without nursing
Regulated activity	Accommodation for persons who require nursing or personal care

Contents

When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'.

	Page
Summary of this inspection:	
Why we carried out this inspection	4
How we carried out this inspection	4
What people told us and what we found	4
More information about the provider	4
Our judgements for each standard inspected:	
Care and welfare of people who use services	5
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	6
Safety and suitability of premises	7
Supporting workers	8
Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision	9
About CQC Inspections	10
How we define our judgements	11
Glossary of terms we use in this report	13
Contact us	15

Summary of this inspection

Why we carried out this inspection

This was a routine inspection to check that essential standards of quality and safety referred to on the front page were being met. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

This was an unannounced inspection.

How we carried out this inspection

We looked at the personal care or treatment records of people who use the service, carried out a visit on 4 January 2013, observed how people were being cared for and talked with people who use the service. We talked with staff.

What people told us and what we found

People had up to date care and support plans and risk assessments based on their current assessed needs.

The service had systems in place to help protect people from abuse.

Environmental improvements had been completed which meant the service was more homely.

Staff were supported to develop their skills and received training relevant to their role. Staff received supervision to enable them to deliver care and treatment to people safely and to an appropriate standard.

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

More information about the provider

Please see our website www.cqc.org.uk for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

Our judgements for each standard inspected

Care and welfare of people who use services

✓ Met this standard

People should get safe and appropriate care that meets their needs and supports their rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People experienced care, treatment and support that met their needs and protected their rights.

Reasons for our judgement

People told us they were involved in decisions about their care and were happy at the service.

We looked at two care plans which were up to date and contained accurate information in relation to people's specific needs. The care plans covered areas such as communication, personal care and medication.

Risk assessments were on file, with regard to unpredictable behaviour in the community, risk of fire, and entering other people's bedrooms. Behaviour guidelines were seen but had not been signed by the people that the guidelines related to or by their representatives. This does not indicate that people were involved in the development of the guidelines. The manager told us they would ensure that the documents were signed by the relevant parties. The risk assessments and guidelines indicated that risks had been identified and guidance had been put in place to ensure people were safe.

People should be protected from abuse and staff should respect their human rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People who use the service were protected from the risk of abuse, because the provider had taken reasonable steps to identify the possibility of abuse and prevent abuse from happening.

Reasons for our judgement

People told us they felt safe. The service had systems in place to help protect people from abuse. There was a safeguarding policy available. This provided information on what constituted abuse and the actions staff needed to take if abuse was suspected. Staff we spoke with were able to describe signs of abuse and neglect, and recognised that by meeting people's needs the potential for abuse was reduced. Records showed that staff had received safeguarding training. Staff were clear that allegations of abuse were to be raised initially with the manager who had overall responsibility in this area.

People should be cared for in safe and accessible surroundings that support their health and welfare

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People who use the service, staff and visitors were protected against the risks of unsafe or unsuitable premises.

Reasons for our judgement

Refurbishment had taken place at the home since the previous inspection. The lounge/ dining room, hallway and kitchen had been painted. The provider told us that the kitchen cupboards and kitchen worktops were to be replaced and that quotations had been obtained to allow the work to commence. The fridge had also been replaced.

Four of the five bedrooms had been re-painted. We were told by the provider that the remaining bedroom would also be decorated. A new mattress had been obtained for one person's bed. The metal filling cabinets that had previously been used for the storage of people's clothes in their bedrooms had now been removed and alternative wardrobes had been obtained with the agreement of the persons representative.

The heater cover that was broken at the previous inspection had been replaced. These changes meant the service was a nicer environment for people to live in.

A laundry room had been built and a new tumble dryer and washing machine had been purchased which meant that people using the service had available appropriate equipment to develop their skills.

A new small sound proof sensory room had also been built for people that lived in the home.

The provider said that the outstanding work will be completed by the 15th of January 2013. The provider told us they would monitor the maintenance within the home and have a continued programme of refurbishment.

People told us they were happy with the environmental improvements that had been completed. One person said they liked their bedroom. Another person was pleased with the new bedding they had bought.

Staff should be properly trained and supervised, and have the chance to develop and improve their skills

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People were cared for by staff who were supported to deliver care and treatment safely and to an appropriate standard.

Reasons for our judgement

People told us that staff were kind and friendly. Staff were observed to respond appropriately when requests were made by people living at the home. Staff said they received regular supervision and felt supported. Supervision records were seen to evidence supervision had taken place. These systems had been put in place to monitor the performance and development of staff. Staff had completed supervision training. Staff told us staff meetings were taking place.

Training records showed that staff had completed appropriate training. Staff confirmed that they had completed mandatory training for example, safeguarding training, first aid, manual handling, health and safety and medication. Therefore staff had the knowledge and skills to provide people with the support that they needed.

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision

✓ Met this standard

The service should have quality checking systems to manage risks and assure the health, welfare and safety of people who receive care

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

The provider had an effective system to regularly assess and monitor the quality of service that people receive.

Reasons for our judgement

People told us they liked living at the home. The service had developed a quality assurance system which included a survey. These had been sent to the people living at the home and relevant professionals. The majority of the feedback was positive including the feedback from the health and social care professionals. Where matters had been raised such as the decoration of the home appropriate action had been taken by the provider to respond to the matters raised.

The service had a date booked for a pharmacy audit of medication to take place.

Health and safety documentation such as the gas certificate, liability insurance and fire checks were found to be in order. The service had maintenance records in relation to the environment.

About CQC inspections

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of dentists and other services at least once every two years. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times but we always inspect at least one standard from each of the five key areas every year. We may check fewer key areas in the case of dentists and some other services.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.

You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.

How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

✓ Met this standard This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.

✗ Action needed This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.

✗ Enforcement action taken If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. We make a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation) from the breach. This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

Minor impact – people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

Moderate impact – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

Major impact – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

Glossary of terms we use in this report

Essential standard

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

Regulated activity

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

(Registered) Provider

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

Regulations

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

Responsive inspection

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

Routine inspection

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

Themed inspection

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

Contact us

Phone: 03000 616161

Email: enquiries@ccq.org.uk

Write to us
at: Care Quality Commission
Citygate
Gallowgate
Newcastle upon Tyne
NE1 4PA

Website: www.cqc.org.uk

Copyright Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.
