This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall rating for this location</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Requires improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are services safe?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are services effective?</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are services caring?</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are services responsive?</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are services well-led?</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date of inspection visit: 13 November 2018
Date of publication: 30/01/2019
Overall summary

This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous rating December 2015 – Good)

The key questions at this inspection are rated as:
Are services safe? – Requires Improvement
Are services effective? – Good
Are services caring? – Good
Are services responsive? – Good
Are services well-led? – Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Okehampton Medical Centre on 13 November 2018. This was a routine inspection as part of the inspection schedule.

At this inspection we found:

- Okehampton Medical Centre had experienced a significant increase of 15% in the number of patients registered with the practice. The leadership team were proactive in addressing risks, responding to the community needs and had been successful in taking over the minor injury service keeping it in the town of OKEHAMPTON.
- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice did not always have reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines, although evidence received since the inspection confirmed these matters had been addressed.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patient feedback was actively encouraged. Feedback about the telephone and appointment system was acted upon and improvements made increasing access and availability of staff.
- Engagement with patients and community was driving development of services at the practice.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.

- The practice is a member of the National Institute for Healthcare Research Clinical Research Network South West Peninsula and is actively involved in clinical research to improve patient care.
- Leaders had an inspiring shared purpose and strive to deliver high quality services and motivate staff to succeed. There was long-term investment in staff, increasing their skills, qualifications and well-being. Staff had access to health and well-being sessions at lunchtime to increase resilience. They were loyal, proud to work at the practice and there was a low staff turnover. Leaders were successful in recruiting newly qualified GPs, some of whom had trained at the practice. In 2018, this support and motivation was recognised when the employer had won an apprentice training provider award.

The practice focussed on the early identification of risks and illness for the farming community being aware of the high risk of suicide linked to occupational conditions in farming. Thirty-nine patients were identified as farmers, of which 19 were eligible for NHS health checks and had been recalled for a health check. The practice was continuing to carry out regular searches to identify patients in the farming community. Displays in waiting rooms and the patient participation group were helping to increase engagement with this at-risk group.

The area where the provider must make improvements as they are in breach of a regulation is:
Ensure there is proper and safe management of medicines. Governance arrangements for the management of medicines must be kept under review to ensure implemented changes are maintained and safety improved.

The areas where the provider should make improvements are:
Keep policies and procedures for medicines management and dispensary services under review to ensure current best practice guidance is followed to reduce risks.
Keep exception reporting under review to increase uptake of reviews for any patients with long term conditions.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCPGChief Inspector of General Practice

Please refer to the detailed report and the evidence tables for further information.
Population group ratings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population group</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Older people</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with long-term conditions</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families, children and young people</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working age people (including those recently retired and students)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser, a practice nurse specialist adviser and a member of the CQC medicines team.

Background to Okehampton Medical Centre

The partnership of GPs registered as Okehampton Medical Centre runs one registered location, which was inspected on 13 November 2018. This was a comprehensive inspection. The practice is located at:

Okehampton Medical Centre
East Street
Okehampton
Devon
EX20 1AY

The practice provides a primary medical service to 14655 patients of a diverse age group. The practice population is in the sixth deprivation decile for deprivation. In a score of one to ten the lower the decile the more deprived an area is. Some surrounding villages have higher levels of deprivation. There is a practice age distribution of male and female patient’s equivalent to national average figures. Average life expectancy for the area is similar to national figures with males living to an average age of 80 years and females to 85 years.

The partnership at the practice comprises of seven GPs partners supported by four salaried and one retainer GPs (five male and six female). The team are supported by a practice manager, three practice nurses, seven minor illness nurse prescribers, a primary care paramedic, four healthcare assistants and four phlebotomists. There are administrative and reception staff.

This is a dispensing practice enabling patients living more than 1 mile away from a pharmacy to use the dispensing services at Okehampton Medical Centre. The dispensary is open Monday to Friday 8.30am to 1.00pm and 2.00pm to 6.00pm. Patients not eligible for the dispensing service can have their prescriptions dispensed at a pharmacy of their choice.

Okehampton Medical Centre is an approved training practice providing vocational placements for GP registrars. Two GP partners are approved to provide vocational training for GPs, second and third year post qualification doctors. Teaching placements were due to commence for medical students and student nurses. A GP registrar was on placement when we inspected.

Patients using the practice also have access to community nurses, mental health teams and health visitors. Other health care professionals visit the practice on a regular basis.

Okehampton Medical Centre is open from 8.15 am – 6 pm Monday to Friday. The practice has a same day team,
comprising of GPs and nurse practitioners with urgent appointments available on the day for patients. Appointments are available early morning, late evening and weekends by appointment (the practice website provides more information about this). Telephone consultations are offered during the day, early morning and late evening several times a week, which benefit working patients.

There is a minor injury unit within the practice open between 8.15 am – 6pm, Monday to Friday. This is a walk-in service, where no appointment is needed. This service is able to treat patients with minor injuries, such as lacerations, sports injuries and infections. All other patients experiencing major trauma, head injuries, poisonings or major collapse are directed to the Royal Devon & Exeter hospital in Exeter.

The opening hours of the practice have been agreed locally under the contract. Outside of these times patients are directed to contact the out-of-hours service by using the NHS 111 number.

The practice is registered to provide the following regulated activities: Diagnostic and screening, Surgical procedures, Family planning services, Maternity and midwifery services and Treatment of disease, disorder or injury.
Are services safe?

We rated the practice as requires improvement for providing safe services.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

• The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
• Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
• The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis.
• There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
• The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
• Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

• Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients’ needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
• There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
• The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
• Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.

• When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

• The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff.
• The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
• Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice did not always have reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines, although the practice sent evidence and confirmed these issues were addressed immediately after the inspection.

• The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, had not been risk assessed to minimised risks. Checks were not always completed in line with the practices’ policies on one of the emergency trollies. Two recommended emergency medicines were not stocked in line with nationally recognised guidance and there was no recorded rationale for this decision. The practice confirmed after the inspection this matter had been addressed.
• Staff prescribed and administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and took action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
• Patients’ health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.
• Arrangements for dispensing medicines at the practice kept patients safe, although there were some improvements needed. The temperature range in the dispensary refrigerator was not being monitored to ensure that medicines were always stored at the recommended temperatures, and controlled drugs checks were not regularly carried out in line with national guidance. The delivery service and drop-off collection points had not been risk assessed to reduce...
risks, and prescription stationery was not stored in line with national guidance. The practice sent evidence after the inspection to confirm all of these issues had been addressed.

**Track record on safety**

The practice had a good track record on safety.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a range of sources.

**Lessons learned and improvements made**

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.
We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients’ immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Patients were given leaflets about their long-term conditions, self-help and medicines in a format that was appropriate for their communication needs.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.

- Adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease were offered statins for secondary prevention. People with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice was able to demonstrate how it identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension).
- The practice’s performance on quality indicators for long term conditions was in line with local and national averages.

Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates had improved on those publish for 2017/18. Results for the first quarter of 2018 demonstrated the practice was above the 95% world health organisation target for immunisation of children under two (95.3%) and above the 90% target for children over two (92.1%).
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children’s appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice’s uptake for cervical screening was 76%, which was below the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme. The practice uptake was in line with the local (76%) and above the national (72%) averages. Staff verified every contact with eligible women was used to encourage and support them to have cervical screening.
- The practice’s uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening was above the national average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74 following the re-introduction of this service. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified such as those for the farming community. Thirty-nine patients registered with the practice were identified as farmers, of which 19 were eligible for NHS health checks. All 19 patients were
Are services effective?

recalled for health checks. The practice was continuing to carry out regular searches to identify patients in the farming community. Displays in waiting rooms and the patient participation group were helping to increase engagement with this at-risk group.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

• End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
• The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
• The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

• The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to ‘stop smoking’ services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
• When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
• Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
• The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.
• The practice’s performance on quality indicators for mental health is in line with local and national averages.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

• We looked at records and found the practice followed national guidelines when exception reporting patients from reviews. (Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients decline or do not respond to invitations to attend a review of their condition or when a medicine is not appropriate).
• The practice used information about care and treatment to make and influence improvements in medicine as a research practice.
• The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

• Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.
• Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
• The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
• The practice provided staff with ongoing support. There was an induction programme for new staff. This included one to one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation.
• There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.
• Dispensary staff were appropriately qualified and their competence was assessed regularly. They could demonstrate how they kept up to date.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

• We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
Are services effective?

- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when discussing care delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. They shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local area.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.
- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes. Patients over 50 years were signposted to events, activities and support in the community. A health and well-being co-ordinator was due to start and the practice had plans to work closely with them.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population’s health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.
- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient’s mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.
Are services caring?

We rated the practice as good for caring.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients’ personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to kindness, respect and compassion.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported them.
- The practice’s GP patient survey results demonstrated the practice commitment to working in partnership with patients. The practice achieved 100%, which was above local and national averages for questions relating to involvement in decisions about care and treatment.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients’ privacy and dignity.

- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people’s dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.
We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

Responding to and meeting people’s needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients’ needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- Patients were encouraged to sign up for online access to order repeat prescriptions, set up appointments and view their summary care records.
- Telephone consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Preventative health clinics were accessible for well woman and men facilitating early detection of long term conditions and support for patients to improve their health. Patients were able to receive support to quit smoking, alcohol and weight management.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.
- The practice provided dispensary services for people who needed additional support with their medicines, for example a delivery service, weekly or monthly blister packs, large print labels.

Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GP, paramedic and practice nurse also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice due to limited local public transport availability.

- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient’s specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local community nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours were available early mornings, late evenings and at the weekend by appointment. Weekend appointments were delivered in partnership with the Mid Devon Healthcare Federation of GP practices, where patients attended one of these.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
The practice held regular virtual clinics with the local community psychiatrist, where patients with complex mental health issues were discussed. Patients requiring mental health or dementia reviews were invited to attend the surgery or reviewed at home. Patients who failed to attend were proactively followed up by a phone call from a GP.

**Timely access to care and treatment**

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use. A 24-hour telephone appointment booking system had been introduced since the last inspection enabling patients to book, check, change or cancel appointments.
- The practice’s GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to access to care and treatment. However, the practice listened to all feedback which had seen some patients reporting difficulties getting through on the phone. A new telephone system, increased patient engagement supported by the patient participation group saw improvements for patients. The number of staff members taking appointment calls in the morning was increased to address this. Secretarial staff had changes made to their workload to enable them to do this.

**Listening and learning from concerns and complaints**

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted, as a result, to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.
Are services well-led?

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

Leadership capacity and capability
Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.
- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy
The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.
- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social care priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture
The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.
- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

Governance arrangements
There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.
- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control.
- Practice leaders had established policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

Managing risks, issues and performance
There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.
- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Practice leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice considered and understood the impact on the quality of care of service changes or developments.
Appropriate and accurate information
The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.

There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners
The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients’, staff and external partners’ views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. There was an active patient participation group involved in early development of services.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

Continuous improvement and innovation
There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement as an approved training and research practice.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.

Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.
Action we have told the provider to take

The table below shows the legal requirements that the service provider was not meeting. The provider must send CQC a report that says what action it is going to take to meet these requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulated activity</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic and screening procedures</td>
<td>Regulation 12 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Safe care and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family planning services</td>
<td>How the regulation was not being met: The temperature range in the dispensary refrigerator was not being monitored to ensure that medicines were always stored at the recommended temperatures, and controlled drugs checks were not regularly carried out in line with national guidance. The delivery service and drop-off collection points had not been risk assessed to reduce risks, and prescription stationery was not stored in line with national guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity and midwifery services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of disease, disorder or injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This section is primarily information for the provider

**Enforcement actions**

**Action we have told the provider to take**

The table below shows the legal requirements that the service provider was not meeting. The provider must send CQC a report that says what action it is going to take to meet these. We took enforcement action because the quality of healthcare required significant improvement.