

# Clover City Practice

## Quality Report

1 Mulberry Street,  
Sheffield  
South Yorkshire  
S1 2PJ  
Tel: 01143054600  
Website: shsc.nhs.uk

Date of inspection visit: 20 November 2017  
Date of publication: 21/12/2017

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

#### Overall rating for this service

Good 

Are services safe?

Good 

Are services effective?

Good 

Are services caring?

Good 

Are services responsive to people's needs?

Good 

Are services well-led?

Good 

# Summary of findings

## Contents

### Summary of this inspection

	Page
Overall summary	2
The six population groups and what we found	3

### Detailed findings from this inspection

Our inspection team	4
Background to Clover City Practice	4
Detailed findings	5

## Overall summary

### Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

#### **This practice is rated as Good overall.**

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? – Good

Are services effective? – Good

Are services caring? – Good

Are services responsive? – Good

Are services well-led? – Good

As part of our inspection process, we also look at the quality of care for specific population groups. The population groups are rated as:

Older People – Good

People with long-term conditions – Good

Families, children and young people – Good

Working age people (including those recently retired and students) – Good

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable – Good

People experiencing poor mental health (including people living with dementia) – Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Clover City practice on 20 November 2017 as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had systems and processes in place to manage risk and minimise safety incidents occurring. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it.
- There was a focus on learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.
- The practice should consider and develop plans to improve their uptake for cervical screening which is below coverage target for the national screening programme.

**Professor Steve Field** CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

# Summary of findings

## The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

<b>Older people</b>	<b>Good</b> 
<b>People with long term conditions</b>	<b>Good</b> 
<b>Families, children and young people</b>	<b>Good</b> 
<b>Working age people (including those recently retired and students)</b>	<b>Good</b> 
<b>People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable</b>	<b>Good</b> 
<b>People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)</b>	<b>Good</b> 

# Clover City Practice

## Detailed findings

### Our inspection team

#### Our inspection team was led by:

A CQC lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser and a second CQC inspector.

## Background to Clover City Practice

The provider, Sheffield Health and Social Care NHS Foundation Trust provides a wide range of specialist mental health, learning disability, drug and alcohol misuse and social care services to the people of Sheffield. From 1 April 2011 it became the provider of additional community and primary care services known as The Clover Group. The group which is made up of the main site at Jordanthorpe Health Centre and has four branches at Darnall Primary Care Centre, Highgate and Central Health Clinic also known as Mulberry. This location, Clover City Practice is registered with the Care Quality Commission separately.

The organisation is an NHS Foundation Trust, accountable to NHS Improvement (NHSI) and the Department of Health. The five Clover Group Practices serve some of the city's

most vulnerable areas. They have 16,413 patients with 60% of the patient population from black and other ethnic communities. There are significant numbers of European migrants registered with the practices.

The clinical team comprises of 9.95 whole time equivalent (WTE) salaried GPs, 6.83 advanced nurse practitioners, 3.75 WTE practice nurses, 2.11 WTE health care assistants and 0.82 WTE phlebotomists. The clinical team are assisted by support managers at four sites and a large administration and reception team. There is also a central senior management team which includes a service lead manager, clinical GP lead and operational manager.

The practices are open between 8am and 6pm on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday. On Thursdays the telephone lines are transferred at midday at three sites to the Mulberry practice where there is a duty doctor on call. Appointments are available at various times during the day across all sites these include drop in clinics, pre bookable appointments and telephone triage. Clover City practice offers Saturday morning clinics between 8 am and 1 pm which are available to all patients within the group. Patients have access to the services provided through the Extended Access hub sites across the city up until 10pm during evenings and weekends.

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

**We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing safe services.**

### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice conducted safety risk assessments. It had safety policies which were reviewed and communicated to staff. Staff received safety information for the practice as part of their induction. The practice had systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. Policies were reviewed and were accessible to all staff. They outlined clearly who to go to for further guidance.
- The practice worked with other agencies to support patients and protect them from neglect and abuse. Staff took steps to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out staff checks including checks of professional registration where relevant on recruitment and on an on-going basis. Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a DBS check.
- There was a system in place to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions. There were systems for safely managing healthcare waste.

### Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- There were arrangements for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections, for example, sepsis.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Referral letters included all of the necessary information.

### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, and emergency medicines and equipment minimised risks. The practice kept prescription stationery securely and monitored its use.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with legal requirements and current national guidance. The practice had audited antimicrobial prescribing.
- Patients' health was monitored to ensure medicines were being used safely and followed up on appropriately. The practice involved patients in regular reviews of their medicines.

### Track record on safety

The practice had a good safety record.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed activity. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

### Lessons learned and improvements made

## Are services safe?

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- There was a system for recording and acting on significant events and incidents. Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons and took action to improve

safety in the practice. For example, following a cold chain incident with the practice refrigerator, all staff were given additional training which incorporated the importance of recording and monitoring of minimum and maximum temperatures to avoid further incidents occurring.

- There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

**We rated the practice as good for providing effective services overall and across all population groups.**

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

#### Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
  - Patients aged over 75 were invited for a health check. If necessary they were referred to other services such as voluntary services and supported by an appropriate care plan. Over a 12 month period the practice had offered 179 patients a health check. 175 of these checks had been carried out.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.

#### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.

#### Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisations were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for the vaccines given were in line with the target percentage of 90% or above.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines.

#### Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 65%, which is below the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme. The practice are below target and should consider and develop plans to improve their uptake for cervical screening.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had on request access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74.

#### People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.

#### People experiencing poor mental health (including people living with dementia):

- The practice specifically considered the physical health needs of patients with poor mental health and offered continuity of care and care planning for patients registered at Forest Lodge and Forest Close (locked and rehabilitative accommodation for patients suffering from serious mental illness).
- Patient needs were discussed at clinical meetings with special consideration for those with mental health conditions and alerts were placed in the patient record of any patient for whom there were concerns.

# Are services effective?

## (for example, treatment is effective)

- The practice had one patient on their dementia register because they have a very low number of patients over 75 which accounts for this however they did offer screening for dementia.
- Patients were able to access counselling, Cognitive Behavioural Therapy and other psychological therapies via the Improving Access to Psychological Therapies service based at Calver Street. The practice had strong links with the Community Mental Health Team and the Fitzwilliam Centre for Drugs and alcohol dependency.
- - 75% of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the previous 12 months. This is comparable to the national average.
  - The practice specifically considered the physical health needs of patients with poor mental health and those living with dementia.
  - **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

The most recent published Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) results were 87% of the total number of points which was 7% below the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of and 8% below the national average. The overall exception reporting rate was 16% which was 17% higher than the CCG and the national average. The practice told us that the high exception reporting is based upon a reduced number of patients with Long Term Conditions and this figure benchmarks more consistently with younger populations. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice. Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients decline or do not respond to invitations to attend a review of their condition or when a medicine is not appropriate.)

- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements. For example, medical staff carry out weekly checks and long term condition reviews at the local secure unit because clients are unable to leave the site
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. For example, they run a Violent

Patient Scheme for Sheffield and as such manage a vulnerable patient group who traditionally find it difficult to access and interact with services. These specific clinics are held out of the Fitzwilliam Centre to reduce the impact for patients having to sit in busy waiting areas which many of them may find overwhelming

### Effective Staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles. For example, staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.

- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

### Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams, services and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their health.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

## Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

**We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for caring.**

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- Reception staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.
- We received 3 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards these were positive about the service experienced. This is in line with the results of the NHS Friends and Family Test and other feedback received by the practice.

Results from the July 2017 annual national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. The practice was below average for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 86% of patients who responded said the GP was good at listening to them compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 90% and the national average of 89%.
- 84% of patients who responded said the GP gave them enough time; CCG - 87%; national average - 86%.
- 87% of patients who responded said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw; CCG - 96%; national average - 95%.
- 78% of patients who responded said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern; CCG and national average - 86%.
- 98% of patients who responded said the nurse was good at listening to them; (CCG) - 92%; national average - 91%.
- 98% of patients who responded said the nurse gave them enough time; CCG - 93%; national average - 92%.

- 94% of patients who responded said they had confidence and trust in the last nurse they saw; CCG - 98%; national average - 97%.
- 96% of patients who responded said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern; CCG and national average - 91%.
- 82% of patients who responded said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful; CCG and national average - 87%.

Some of these survey results are worse than CQC and national averages. The practice was aware of the data and were taking action to improve these results by recruiting more staff and improving their premises. In addition, information from the three comment cards we received and the five patients we spoke to were positive about the standards of care they received. For example patients told us that they felt listened to and that the GP's were good at listening to them.

### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given):

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. We saw notices in the reception areas, including in languages other than English, informing patients this service was available. Patients were also told about multi-lingual staff who might be able to support them.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

The practice were in the process of putting a process in place for patients who were carers. The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The

## Are services caring?

practice had identified 36 patients as carers which is 0.081% of the practice population. The practice told us that this low figure is in response to the demographics of the younger population.

- Staff told us that if families had experienced bereavement, their usual GP contacted them or sent them a sympathy card. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were in line with local and national averages:

- 78% of patients who responded said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 87% and the national average of 86%.

- 78% of patients who responded said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care; CCG and national average 82%.
- 93% of patients who responded said the last nurse they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments; CCG and national average - 90%.
- 88% of patients who responded said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care; CCG and national average - 85%.

### Privacy and dignity

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

- Staff recognised the importance of patients' dignity and respect.
- The practice complied with the Data Protection Act 1998.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

**We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services across all population groups**

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs. For example, the practice ran a Violent Patient Scheme for Sheffield and managed a vulnerable patient group who traditionally find it difficult to access and interact with services. These specific clinics were held out of the Fitzwilliam Centre to reduce the impact for patients having to sit in busy waiting areas which many of them may find overwhelming.
- The practice improved services where possible in response to unmet needs.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered however the practice were hoping to move to more suitable premises in the near future.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services. For example, medical staff carried out weekly checks and long term condition reviews at the local secure unit because clients were unable to leave the site.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

#### Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GP and practice nurse also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice due to limited local public transport availability.

#### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

#### Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

#### Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours on a Saturday between 8 am and 1 pm.
- Telephone and web GP consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.

#### People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.

#### People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs.
- The practice held GP led dedicated monthly mental health clinics. Patients who failed to attend were proactively followed up by a phone call from a GP.

### Timely access to the service

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

## (for example, to feedback?)

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- The appointment system was easy to use.

Results from the July 2017 annual national GP patient survey showed that patients' satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was comparable to local and national averages. This was supported by observations on the day of inspection and completed comment cards.

- 73% of patients who responded were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 74% and the national average of 75%.
- 77% of patients who responded said they could get through easily to the practice by phone; CCG – 69%; national average - 71%.
- 78% of patients who responded said that the last time they wanted to speak to a GP or nurse they were able to get an appointment; CCG - 82%; national average - 84%.
- 77% of patients who responded described their experience of making an appointment as good; CCG - 79%; national average - 81%.

- 48% of patients who responded said they don't normally have to wait too long to be seen; CCG - 56%; national average - 58%.
- The practice was below CCG averages however patients told us on the day of inspection that they were very satisfied with the service provided and were able to get an appointment to be seen.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available and it was easy to do. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. Four complaints were received in the last year. We reviewed these complaints and found that they were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.
- The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care. For example, following a patient complaint regarding poor staff communication, training sessions had been planned to improve overall communication to include developing staff developing their skills relating to compassion.

# Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

## Our findings

**We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.**

### Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.
- They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- The practice developed its vision, values and strategy jointly with patients, staff and external partners.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

### Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.

- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- From the complaints that we reviewed, openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated. For example each complaint was responded to individually and an apology was given. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- Clinical staff, including nurses, were considered valued members of the practice team. They were given protected time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. It identified and addressed the causes of any workforce inequality. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

### Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted interactive and co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control

# Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

- Practice leaders had established proper policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

## Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of employed clinical staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions. Practice leaders had oversight of MHRA alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice implemented service developments and where efficiency changes were made this was with input from clinicians to understand their impact on the quality of care.

## Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.

- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

## Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. For example the patient participation group had recently been involved in re-designing the sign posting within the building to improve patient access.
- There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

## Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. For example the practice was working with local mental health providers to offer support to vulnerable patients across the locality.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.