

# The Park Medical Centre

## Quality Report

19 Bridge Road  
St Austell  
PL25 5HE  
Tel: 01726 73042  
Website: [www.theparkmedicalcentre.co.uk](http://www.theparkmedicalcentre.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 7 January 2015  
Date of publication: 14/05/2015

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

## Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

# Summary of findings

## Contents

### Summary of this inspection

	Page
Overall summary	2
The five questions we ask and what we found	3
The six population groups and what we found	5
What people who use the service say	7

### Detailed findings from this inspection

Our inspection team	8
Background to The Park Medical Centre	8
Why we carried out this inspection	8
How we carried out this inspection	9
Detailed findings	0

## Overall summary

### Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at The Park Surgery on 7 January 2015.

We found the practice to be good for providing safe, effective, caring, responsive and well led services. It was also good for providing services for older people, people with long term conditions, families, children and young people, working age people including those recently retired and students, people who were vulnerable and those experiencing poor mental health and those with dementia.

We rated this practice as Good.

Our key findings were as follows:

- Staff understood and fulfilled their responsibilities to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. All opportunities for learning from incidents were taken advantage of.

- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment. Information was provided to help patients understand the care available to them.

- The practice implemented suggestions for improvements and made changes to the way it delivered services as a consequence of feedback from patients and from the Patient Participation Group.

- Patients had a variety of ways to make appointments and found the practice to be flexible in meeting their needs. We were told patients could always get an appointment.

- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs. Patients told us they always found the practice to be clean and safe.

- The practice had a clear vision which had quality and safety as its first priority and high standards were promoted and owned by all practice staff with evidence of team working across all roles.

**Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)**  
Chief Inspector of General Practice

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services. Staff understood and fulfilled their responsibilities to raise concerns, and report incidents and near misses. Lessons were learned and communicated widely to support improvement. Information about safety was recorded, monitored, appropriately reviewed and addressed. Risks to patients were assessed and well managed. There were safeguards in place to identify children and adults in vulnerable circumstances. There was enough staff to keep people safe. Recruitment procedures and checks were completed as required to ensure that staff were suitable and competent. The practice was clean, tidy and hygienic. We found that suitable arrangements were in place that ensured the cleanliness of the practice was maintained to a high standard.

Good



### Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services. Supporting data obtained both prior to and during the inspection showed the practice had systems in place to make sure the practice was effectively run. The practice had a clinical audit system in place and audits had been completed. Care and treatment was delivered in line with national best practice guidance. The practice worked closely with other services to achieve the best outcome for patients who used the practice. Staff employed at the practice had received appropriate support, training and appraisal. GP appraisals and revalidation of professional qualifications had been completed. The practice had extensive health promotion material available within the practice and on the practice website.

Good



### Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services. Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in care and treatment decisions.

Accessible information was provided to help patients understand the care available to them. We also saw that staff treated patients with kindness and respect ensuring confidentiality was maintained.

Good



### Are services responsive to people's needs?

The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services. The practice reviewed and understood the needs of their local population. The practice identified and took action to make improvements. Patients reported that they could access the practice when they needed. Patients reported that their care was good. The

Good



# Summary of findings

practice was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs. There was an accessible complaints system with evidence demonstrating that the practice responded appropriately and in a timely way to issues raised. There was evidence that learning from complaints was shared with staff.

## **Are services well-led?**

The practice is rated as good for being well-led. The practice had a clear vision and strategy to deliver quality care and treatment and they were looking for ways to improve. Staff reported an open culture and said they could communicate with senior staff. The practice had a number of policies and procedures to govern activity and regular governance meetings took place. There were systems in place to monitor and improve quality and identify risks. There were systems to manage the safety and maintenance of the premises and to review the quality of patient care.

The practice had an active patient participation group (PPG) which was involved in the decision making processes of the practice.

**Good**



# Summary of findings

## The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

### Older people

The practice is rated as good for providing care to older people. All patients over 75 years had a named GP. Health checks and promotion were offered to this group of patients. The practice worked with the community matron to keep patients independent within their own homes. There were safeguards in place to identify adults in vulnerable circumstances. The practice worked well with external professionals in delivering care to older patients, including end of life care. Pneumococcal vaccination and shingles vaccinations were provided at the practice for older people on set days as well as during routine appointments. Staff recognised that some patients required additional help when being referred to other agencies and assisted them with this.

Good



### People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for providing care to people with long term conditions. The practice managed the care and treatment for patients with long term conditions in line with best practice and national guidance. Health promotion and health checks were offered in line with national guidelines for specific conditions such as diabetes and asthma. Longer appointments were available for patients if required, such as those with long term conditions. The practice had a carers' register and all carers were offered an appointment for a carers' check with nursing staff. The practice worked with the community matron to keep patients independent within their own homes.

Good



### Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for families, children and young people. Staff worked well with the midwife to provide prenatal and postnatal care. Postnatal health checks were provided by a GP. The practice provided baby and child immunisation programmes to ensure babies and children could access a full range of vaccinations and health screening. The practice is a member of the EEFO system for young people. Information relevant to young patients was displayed and health checks and advice on sexual health for men, women and young people included a full range of contraception services and sexual health screening including chlamydia testing and cervical screening. The GPs training in safeguarding children from abuse was at the required level.

Good



# Summary of findings

## **Working age people (including those recently retired and students)**

The practice is rated as good for providing care to working age people. The practice provided appointments on the same day. Emergency appointments were available. The practice operated extended opening hours one evening a week. Smoking cessation appointments were available. The practice website invited all patients aged between 40 years to 75 years to arrange to have a health check with a nurse if they wanted. A cervical screening service was available.

Good



## **People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable**

The practice is rated as good for people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable. The practice had a vulnerable patient register to identify these patients. Vulnerable patients were reviewed at team meetings. Referral to a counselling service was available. The practice did not provide primary care services for patients who are homeless as none are known, however, staff said they would not turn away a patient if they needed primary care and could not access it. Patients with interpretation requirements were known to the practice and staff knew how to access these services. Patients with learning disabilities were offered a health check every year during which their long term care plans were discussed with the patient and their carer if appropriate. Reception staff were able to identify vulnerable patients and offer longer appointment times where needed.

Good



## **People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)**

The practice is rated as good for people experiencing poor mental health, including people with dementia. The practice is aware of their ageing population group. Staff were aware of the safeguarding principles and GPs and nurses had access to safeguarding policies. All staff had received training in the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005 and were aware of the principles and used them when gaining consent. There was signposting and information available to patients. The practice referred patients who needed mental health services and community psychiatric nurses visited the practice. Some support services were provided at the practice, such as counselling. Patients suffering poor mental health were offered annual health checks as recommended by national guidelines.

Good



# Summary of findings

## What people who use the service say

We looked at patient experience feedback from the national GP survey from 2013/2014. The patient's survey showed 91% of the 112 patients that responded found that GPs gave them the time they needed. 91% said that GPs were good at explaining treatment and tests to them. 96% of patients said that the nursing staff were very helpful and explained their treatment well and 85% of the patients found the reception staff helpful.

We spoke with four patients during the inspection and collected 31 completed comment cards which had been left in the reception area for patients to fill in before we visited. All 31 of the comment cards gave positive feedback. There were three comment cards that stated that they found making an appointment difficult if it was not for an urgent illness, this reflects the lower score of

77% of patients describing their experience of making an appointment as good. Patients told us the staff were friendly, they were treated with respect, their care was very good. The comment cards also told us how they felt listened to by the staff and were provided with an excellent service.

Patients were satisfied with the facilities at the practice. Patients commented on the building being clean and tidy. Patients told us staff used gloves and aprons where needed and washed their hands before treatment was provided.

Patients found it easy to get repeat prescriptions from the practice.

# The Park Medical Centre

## Detailed findings

### Our inspection team

#### Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC lead inspector. The team also included a CQC inspector, a GP specialist advisor, and a practice manager specialist advisor.

## Background to The Park Medical Centre

The Park Medical Centre provides primary medical services to people living in St Austell and the surrounding areas. The practice also had a branch at Foxhole Medical Centre, Carpalla Road, Foxhole, St. Austell with approximately 1,500 patients registered at the practice. We did not visit the branch as part of our inspection.

The Park Surgery is part of the consortium known as the St Austell Healthcare Group Ltd and they have in place an agreement to help manage and lead Polkyth Surgery for which they have overall responsibility for managing.

This was a comprehensive inspection.

At the time of our inspection there were approximately 7,500 patients registered at the service. The practice had a team of five GP partners, two part time female and three full time male GPs. The partners held managerial and financial responsibility for running the business. There was a community matron, one nurse prescriber, two nurses, one healthcare assistant and one phlebotomist (staff member who takes blood) at the practice. In addition there was a practice manager, and additional administrative and reception staff.

Patients using the practice have access to community staff including district nurses, community psychiatric nurses, health visitors, physiotherapists, mental health staff, counsellors and midwives.

The practice is open between 8.30am and 6.00pm throughout the day Monday to Friday. The practice does not close at lunchtime but telephone calls are directed to an answer machine between 1.00pm – 2.00pm each day. The practice has extended hours on a Saturday morning between 8:30am and 12 noon. A practice nurse also has a clinic one Saturday morning a month.

Patients can book appointments between these times to see a GP or other healthcare professional either by telephone or in person. A number of online appointments are also available for patients to book themselves.

Outside of these hours patients dial the practice telephone number and obtain instruction on how to contact the GP on call for emergencies. Advice can also be obtained by another health care provider by patients dialling the national 111 service.

## Why we carried out this inspection

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.



# Detailed findings

## How we carried out this inspection

Before conducting our announced inspection of The Park Surgery, we reviewed a range of information we held about the service and asked other organisations to share what they knew about the service. Organisations included the local Healthwatch, NHS England, and the local Cornwall Clinical Commissioning Group.

We requested information and documentation from the provider which was made available to us either before, during or 48 hours after the inspection.

We carried out our announced visit on 7 January 2015. We spoke with four patients, four GPs, a Registrar doctor, a medical student, four of the nursing team and six of the management and administration team. We spoke with a representative of the patient participation group (PPG) and collected 31 patient responses from our comments box which had been displayed in the waiting room. We observed how the practice was run and looked at the facilities and the information available to patients.

We looked at documentation that related to the management of the practice and anonymised patient records in order to see the processes followed by the staff.

We observed staff interactions with other staff and with patients and made observations throughout the internal and external areas of the building.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services are provided for specific groups of people and what good care looks like for them. The population groups are:

- Older people
- People with long-term conditions
- Families, children and young people
- Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Safe track record

Systems were in place for reporting and responding to incidents. All safety alerts were dealt with by the GPs, nurses and reception team. Patients told us they felt safe when attending the practice. The practice had chaperone policy in place. A chaperone is a third person of the patient's choice, who may accompany them during consultation, treatment or physical examination.

The GP told us that when they received MHRA alerts (medical alerts about medicines safety) they searched their patient records to check whether any patients would be affected, to ensure they took appropriate actions to protect patients. The lead GP also shared medical alert information with other clinical staff in the practice.

### Learning and improvement from safety incidents

The practice had a system in place for reporting, recording and monitoring significant events. We saw records of significant events that had occurred during 2014. Team meeting minutes showed significant events were discussed to identify concerns and share learning with the staff. For example, blood tests used for checking the correct dosage of medicines were now only taken on a Tuesday and Thursday following a result being missed after a blood sample was taken on a Friday and due to a Bank Holiday the results were not received until the Tuesday. The significant events log was discussed at staff meetings to identify trends. The individual GPs write more detailed reports for significant events and keep them in their appraisal folders. There was evidence that appropriate learning had taken place where necessary and that the findings were disseminated to relevant staff. All staff were aware of the system for raising issues to be considered at the meetings, and said they felt able to do so.

### Reliable safety systems and processes including safeguarding

The practice had systems to manage and review risks to vulnerable children, young people and adults. We looked at training records which showed that all staff had received relevant role specific training on safeguarding. We asked members of medical, nursing and administrative staff about their most recent training. Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in older people, vulnerable adults

and children. They were also aware of their responsibilities and knew how to share information, properly record documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact the relevant agencies in working hours and out of normal hours. Contact details were easily accessible.

The practice had appointed dedicated GPs as leads in safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. They had been trained to the recommended level three and could demonstrate they had the necessary training to enable them to fulfil this role. All staff we spoke with were aware who these leads were and who to speak with in the practice if they had a safeguarding concern.

There was a system to highlight vulnerable patients on the practice's electronic records. This included information to make staff aware of any relevant issues when patients attended appointments; for example children subject to child protection plans. GPs were appropriately using the required codes on their electronic case management system to ensure risks to children and young people who were looked after or on child protection plans were clearly flagged and reviewed. The lead safeguarding GP was aware of vulnerable children and adults and records demonstrated good liaison with partner agencies such as social services.

There was a chaperone policy, which was visible on the waiting room noticeboard and in consulting rooms. (A chaperone is a person who acts as a safeguard and witness for a patient and health care professional during a medical examination or procedure). All nursing staff, including health care assistants, had been trained to be a chaperone.

### Medicines management

We checked medicines stored in the treatment rooms and medicine refrigerators and found they were stored securely and were only accessible to authorised staff. There was a clear policy for ensuring that medicines were kept at the required temperatures, which described the action to take in the event of a potential failure. The practice staff followed the policy.

Processes were in place to check medicines were within their expiry date and suitable for use. All the medicines we checked were within their expiry dates. Expired and unwanted medicines were disposed of in line with waste regulations.

## Are services safe?

We saw records of practice meetings that noted the actions taken in response to a review of prescribing data. For example, patterns of antibiotic, and pain relief prescribing within the practice. For example, patients prescribed a soluble pain relief medicine had been identified and where possible the medicine had been changed to a tablet form.

The nurses administered vaccines using directions that had been produced in line with legal requirements and national guidance. We saw up-to-date copies of both sets of directions and evidence that nurses had received appropriate training to administer vaccines. A member of the nursing staff was qualified as an independent prescriber and she received regular supervision and support in her role from a named GP as well as updates in the specific clinical areas of expertise for which she prescribed.

All prescriptions were reviewed and signed by a GP before they were given to the patient. Blank prescription forms were handled in accordance with national guidance as these were tracked through the practice and kept securely at all times.

### Cleanliness and infection control

We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. We saw there were cleaning schedules in place and cleaning records were kept. Patients we spoke with told us they always found the practice clean and had no concerns about cleanliness or infection control.

The practice had a lead staff member for infection control who had undertaken further training to enable them to provide advice on the practice infection control policy and carry out staff training. All staff received induction training about infection control specific to their role and received annual updates. We saw evidence that the lead had carried out audits bi-monthly and that any improvements identified for action were completed on time.

An infection control policy and supporting procedures were available for staff to refer to, which enabled them to plan and implement measures to control infection. For example, personal protective equipment including disposable gloves, aprons and coverings were available for staff to use and staff were able to describe how they would use these to comply with the practice's infection control policy.

Recent training in hand washing techniques had been undertaken. There was also a policy for needle stick injury and staff knew the procedure to follow in the event of an injury.

Notices about hand hygiene techniques were displayed in staff and patient toilets. Hand washing sinks with hand soap, hand gel and hand towel dispensers were available in treatment rooms.

### Equipment

Staff we spoke with told us they had equipment to enable them to carry out diagnostic examinations, assessments and treatments. They told us that all equipment was tested and maintained regularly and we saw diarised records to support this. All portable electrical equipment was routinely tested and displayed stickers indicating the last testing date, which was in June 2014. A schedule of testing was in place. We saw evidence of calibration of relevant equipment; for example weighing scales, spirometers, blood pressure measuring devices and the fridge thermometer. These had been calibrated in February 2014.

### Staffing and recruitment

Records we looked at contained evidence that appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and criminal records checks through the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS). All staff working at the practice had enhanced DBS checks. The practice had a recruitment policy that set out the standards it followed when recruiting clinical and non-clinical staff.

Staff told us about the arrangements for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. We saw there was a rota system in place for all the different staffing groups to ensure that enough staff were on duty. There was also an arrangement in place for members of staff, including nursing and administrative staff, to cover each other's annual leave.

Staff told us there were usually enough staff to maintain the smooth running of the practice and there were always enough staff on duty to keep patients safe. The practice manager showed us records to demonstrate that actual staffing levels and skill mix were in line with planned staffing requirements.

### Monitoring safety and responding to risk

## Are services safe?

The practice had systems, processes and policies in place to manage and monitor risks to patients, staff and visitors to the practice. These included annual and monthly checks of the building, the environment, medicines management, staffing, dealing with emergencies and equipment. The practice also had a health and safety policy. Health and safety information was displayed for staff to see and there was an identified health and safety representative.

We saw that staff were able to identify and respond to changing risks to patients including deteriorating health and well-being or medical emergencies. For example, for patients experiencing a mental health crisis, a private room was available to give support.

### **Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents**

The practice had arrangements in place to manage emergencies. Records showed that all staff had received training in basic life support. Emergency equipment was available including access to oxygen and an automated external defibrillator (used to attempt to restart a person's

heart in an emergency). When we asked members of staff, they all knew the location of this equipment and records confirmed that it was checked regularly. The notes of the practice's significant event meetings showed that staff had discussed a medical emergency concerning a patient and that practice had learned from this appropriately.

Emergency medicines were available in a secure area of the practice and all staff knew of their location. These included those for the treatment of cardiac arrest, and anaphylaxis (severe allergic shock). Processes were also in place to check whether emergency medicines were within their expiry date and suitable for use. All the medicines we checked were in date and fit for use.

A business continuity plan was in place to deal with a range of emergencies that may impact on the daily operation of the practice. Risks identified included power failure, adverse weather, unplanned sickness and access to the building. The document also contained relevant contact details for staff to refer to. For example, contact details of a heating company to contact if the heating system failed.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Effective needs assessment

There were examples where care and treatment followed national best practice and guidelines. For example, emergency medicines and equipment held within the practice followed the guidance produced by the Resuscitation Council (UK). The practice followed the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidance and discussion around latest guidance was included in the staff meetings. We saw that where required, guidance from the Mental Capacity Act 2005 had been followed. Guidance from national travel vaccine websites had been followed by practice nurses.

The GPs and practice nurses told us they lead in specialist clinical areas such as diabetes, heart disease and asthma and said they received support and advice from each other. Patients with specific conditions were reviewed to ensure they were receiving appropriate treatment and regular review. For example, blood pressure monitoring.

### Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

Staff from across the practice had key roles in the monitoring and improvement of outcomes for patients. These roles included data input, clinical review scheduling, adult and child protection alerts management and medicines management.

The GPs told us clinical audits were often linked to medicines management information, for example, we saw an audit regarding the prescribing and monitoring of drugs used for pain relief, to ensure that the correct dosage and testing was being given to the patients and that patients were on the correct dosage. The GPs maintained records showing how they had evaluated the service and documented the success of any changes.

The nurses told us of clinical audits they carried out, for example, auditing the number of patients who had a smear test and this resulted in inadequate results. The audit identified training needs.

Staff regularly checked that patients receiving repeat prescriptions had been reviewed by the GP. They also checked that all routine health checks were completed for long-term conditions such as diabetes and the latest prescribing guidance was being used. The IT system

flagged up relevant medicines alerts when the GP went to prescribe medicines. We were shown evidence to confirm that following the receipt of an alert the GPs had reviewed the use of the medicine in question, and where they continued to prescribe it, they had outlined the reason why they decided this was necessary. The evidence we saw confirmed that the GPs had oversight and a good understanding of best treatment for each patient's needs.

### Effective staffing

Practice staffing included medical, nursing, managerial and administrative staff. We reviewed staff training records and saw that all staff were up to date with attending mandatory courses such as annual basic life support. We noted a good skill mix among the GPs with a number having additional interests in sexual health, cardiology, minor surgery, dermatology and diabetes. All GPs were up to date with their yearly continuing professional development requirements and all either had been revalidated or had a date for revalidation. (Every GP is appraised annually, and undertakes a fuller assessment called revalidation every five years. Only when revalidation has been confirmed by the General Medical Council can the GP continue to practise and remain on the performers list with NHS England).

All staff undertook annual appraisals that identified learning needs from which action plans were documented. The nurses received appraisal from the practice manager and a GP. The practice manager appraised all the administrative staff. Our interviews with staff confirmed that the practice was proactive in providing training and funding for relevant courses.

Practice nurses were expected to perform defined duties and were able to demonstrate that they were trained to fulfil these duties. For example, administration of vaccines. Those with extended roles, for example seeing patients with long term conditions such as asthma and diabetes, were also able to demonstrate that they had appropriate training to fulfil these roles. For example the nurses had received training in spirometry and the sick child.

Staff files we reviewed showed that where poor performance had been identified appropriate action had been taken to manage this.

### Working with colleagues and other services

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The practice worked with other service providers to meet patient's needs and manage those of patients with complex needs. It received blood test results, X ray results, and letters from the local hospitals including discharge summaries, out-of-hours GP services and the 111 service both electronically and by post. The practice had a policy outlining the responsibilities of all relevant staff in passing on, reading and acting on any issues arising from communications with other care providers on the day they were received. All the GPs who saw these documents and results were responsible for the action required. All staff we spoke with understood their roles and felt the system in place worked well. There were no instances identified within the last year of any results or discharge summaries that were not followed up appropriately.

The practice held multidisciplinary team meetings monthly to discuss the needs of complex patients, for example those with end of life care needs or children on the at risk register. These meetings were attended by district nurses and palliative care nurses, the school nurse bi monthly. Decisions about care planning were documented in a shared care record. Staff felt this system worked well.

## Information sharing

The practice used several electronic systems to communicate with other providers. For example, there was a shared system with the local GP out-of-hours provider to enable patient data to be shared in a secure and timely manner. Electronic systems were also in place for making referrals through the Choose and Book system. (Choose and Book is a national electronic referral service which gives patients a choice of place, date and time for their first outpatient appointment in a hospital). Staff reported that this system was easy to use.

The practice had systems to provide staff with the information they needed. Staff used an electronic patient record to coordinate, document and manage patients' care. All staff were fully trained on the system, and commented positively about the system's safety and ease of use. This software enabled scanned paper communications, such as those from hospital, to be saved in the system for future reference.

## Consent to care and treatment

We found that staff were aware of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 and their duties in fulfilling it. All the clinical staff we

spoke with understood the key parts of the legislation and were able to describe how they implemented it in their practice. Staff had accessed MCA training available on the eLearning system used.

There was a practice policy for documenting consent for specific interventions. For example, for all minor surgical procedures, a patient's verbal consent was documented in the electronic patient notes with a record of the relevant risks, benefits and complications of the procedure.

Patients with a learning disability and those with dementia were supported to make decisions through the use of care plans, which they were involved in agreeing. These care plans were reviewed annually or more frequently if changes in clinical circumstances dictated it. When interviewed, staff gave examples of how a patient's best interests were taken into account if a patient did not have capacity to make a decision. All clinical staff demonstrated a clear understanding of Gillick competencies. These are used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions.

## Health promotion and prevention

There was information on various health conditions and self-care available in the reception area of the practice. The practice website contained information on health advice and other services which could assist patients. The website also provided information on self-care. The practice offered new patients a health check with a nurse, healthcare assistant or with a GP if a patient was on specific medicines when they joined the practice.

A travel consultation service was available. This included a full risk assessment based on the area of travel. Vaccinations were given where appropriate or patients were referred on to private travel clinics for further information and support if needed.

The practice had numerous ways of identifying patients who needed additional support, and it was pro-active in offering additional help. For example, the practice kept a register of all patients with a learning disability and 100% had been offered an annual physical health check in the last 12 months.

The practice had been EEFO approved. (The term EEFO does not stand for anything. EEFO is a word that has been designed by young people, to be owned by young people).

## Are services effective? (for example, treatment is effective)

EEFO works with other community services to make sure they are young people friendly. Once a service has been EEFO approved it means that service has met the quality standards. For example, confidentiality and consent, easy to access services, welcoming environment and staff trained on issues young people face. Part of this scheme is the use of a green card. This is a local collaboration between the practice and the local secondary school whereby a young person can request a green card from the school office allowing them to access medical services without the need to be asked lots of questions by teaching staff. The young person is then seen without the

requirement to be given an appointment and is able to see a GP/nurse or associated health professional during the school lunch hour on the school premises. The scheme was set up to improve young peoples' accessibility to health services.

The practice offered patients who were eligible, a yearly flu vaccination. This included older patients, those with a long term medical condition, pregnant women, babies and young children. Patients with long term medical conditions were offered yearly health reviews. Patients with diabetes were offered six monthly reviews.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### Respect, Dignity, Compassion & Empathy

We reviewed the most recent data available for the practice on patient satisfaction. This included a national survey performed in 2013/2014. Evidence from these sources showed patients were satisfied with how they were treated and that this was with compassion, dignity and respect. For example, data from the patient survey showed the practice was rated high for all outcomes including consideration, reassurance, and confidence in ability and respect.

Patients completed CQC comment cards to tell us what they thought about the practice. We received 31 completed cards giving positive feedback on the service provided. Patients said they felt the practice offered an excellent service and staff were efficient, helpful and caring. They said staff treated them with dignity and a GP. We also spoke with four patients on the day of our inspection. All told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected.

Staff and patients told us that all consultations and treatments were carried out in the privacy of a consulting room. Disposable curtains were provided in consulting rooms and treatment rooms so that patients' privacy and dignity was maintained during examinations, investigations and treatments. We noted that consultation / treatment room doors were closed during consultations and that conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.

We saw that staff were careful to follow the practice's confidentiality policy when discussing patients' treatments so that confidential information was kept private. The practice switchboard was located in a separate room from the reception desk which helped keep patient information private.

There was a clearly visible notice in the patient reception area stating the practice's zero tolerance for abusive behaviour. Receptionists told us that referring to this had helped them diffuse potentially difficult situations.

### Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The patient survey information we reviewed showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment and generally rated the practice well in these areas. For example, data from the national patient survey showed 83% of practice respondents said the GP involved them in care decisions and 91% felt the GP was good at explaining treatment and results.

Patients we spoke to on the day of our inspection told us that health issues were discussed with them and they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment they wished to receive. Patient feedback on the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views.

Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. We saw notices in the reception areas and on the practice website informing patients this service was available.

### Patient/carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

The survey information we reviewed showed patients were positive about the emotional support provided by the practice and rated it well in this area. The patients we spoke to on the day of our inspection and the comment cards we received were also consistent with this survey information. For example, these highlighted staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Notices in the patient waiting room, and patient website directed patients to a number of support groups and organisations. The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. We were shown the written information available for carers to ensure they understood the various avenues of support available to them.

Staff told us families who had suffered bereavement were called by their usual GP. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

We found the practice was responsive to patient's needs and had systems in place to maintain the level of service provided. The needs of the practice population were understood and systems were in place to address identified needs in the way services were delivered.

The practice welcomed feedback from patients and external bodies and used significant events, complaints and near misses to improve the services provided. Response to these events was prompt.

The practice implemented suggestions for improvements and made changes to the way it delivered services in response to feedback from the patient participation group (PPG). This had included the PPG undertaking a survey of patient's ability to contact the practice by telephone. As a result of this survey where the PPG had highlighted the difficulties experienced by patients, the practice had introduced a new telephone system into the practice.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had recognised the needs of different groups in the planning of its services. Staff said no patient would be turned away. The practice staff knew how to access language translation services if information was not understood by the patient, to enable them to make an informed decision or to give consent to treatment.

The practice provided equality and diversity training. Staff we spoke with confirmed that they had completed the equality and diversity training in the last 12 months and that equality and diversity was regularly discussed at staff appraisals and team meetings.

The practice had level access for patients using wheelchairs and patients with pushchairs. The front door and corridors were wide and all consultation and treatment rooms were on the same floor level allowing easy access for wheelchair users. A separate play area with a selection of toys for distraction was available for younger children. We saw that the waiting area was large enough to accommodate patients with wheelchairs and prams and allowed for easy access to the treatment and consultation rooms. Accessible toilet facilities were available for all patients attending the practice including baby changing facilities.

### Access to the service

The practice was open from 8am to 1pm and then from 2pm to 6:30pm Mondays to Fridays. The practice also had pre bookable appointments on a Saturday morning for patients to see a GP. The nurse held a clinic every third Saturday morning.

Information was available to patients about appointments on the practice website. This included how to arrange urgent appointments and home visits and how to book appointments through the website. There were also arrangements to ensure patients received urgent medical assistance when the practice was closed. If patients called the practice when it was closed, an answerphone message gave the telephone number they should ring depending on the circumstances. Information on the out-of-hours service was provided to patients.

Longer appointments were also available for patients who needed them and those with long-term conditions. This also included appointments with a named GP or nurse. Home visits were made to local care homes by a regular GP for those patients who needed one.

Patients were generally satisfied with the appointments system. They confirmed that they could see a GP on the same day if they needed to. They also said they could see another GP if there was a wait to see the GP of their choice. Comments received from patients showed that patients in urgent need of treatment had often been able to make appointments on the same day of contacting the practice.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had a system in place for handling complaints and concerns. Its complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England. There was a designated responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.

We saw that information was available to help patients understand the complaints system. The procedure was displayed as well as information about advocacy services. Complaints forms were readily available on the reception desk. Patients we spoke with were aware of the process to follow if they wished to make a complaint. None of the patients we spoke with had ever needed to make a complaint about the practice.

The practice reviewed complaints annually to detect themes or trends. We looked at the report for the last

## Are services responsive to people's needs? (for example, to feedback?)

review and observed that themes had been identified, for example, difficulty in making an appointment in the morning. The practice had acted on this information and reviewed their telephone systems.

# Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

## Our findings

### Vision and strategy

Staff were able to describe the vision, values, strategic and operational aims of the practice. Staff said one of the main strengths of the practice was that they felt valued and there was a team atmosphere. There were clear lines of accountability and areas of responsibility. Staff knew what their responsibilities were in relation to these.

### Governance arrangements

There was a clear leadership structure with named members of staff in lead roles. For example, there was a lead nurse for infection control and a partner was the lead for safeguarding. Staff were clear about their own roles and responsibilities. They all told us they felt valued, well supported and knew who to go to in the practice with any concerns.

The practice used the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) to measure its performance. (QOF is a voluntary incentive scheme for GP practices in the UK. The scheme financially rewards practices for managing some of the most common long-term conditions and for the implementation of preventative measures). The QOF data for this practice showed it was performing in line with national standards. We saw that the partners had individual leadership in QOF and that the QOF data was regularly discussed at team meetings and action plans were produced to maintain or improve outcomes.

The practice had arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks. The practice manager showed us the risk log, which addressed a wide range of potential issues, for example personal safety. We saw that the risk log was regularly discussed at team meetings and updated in a timely way. Risk assessments had been carried out where risks were identified and action plans had been produced and implemented.

The practice held three monthly governance meetings. We looked at minutes from the last three meetings and found that performance, quality and risks had been discussed and acted upon.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

We saw from minutes that team meetings were held regularly, at least monthly. Staff told us that there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity and were happy to raise issues at team meetings.

The practice manager was responsible for human resource policies and procedures. We reviewed a number of policies, for example disciplinary procedures, induction policy, and management of sickness which were in place to support staff. We were shown the electronic staff handbook that was available to all staff, which included sections on equality and harassment and bullying at work. Staff we spoke with knew where to find these policies if required.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy which was available to all staff in the staff handbook and electronically on any computer within the practice.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice had an active patient group (PPG) although the numbers of this group had diminished through illness over the past year. The practice decided to form a virtual patient participation group to appeal to more patients and were in the process of planning to meet the group later this year. We met with a member of the PPG and they told us of different ways that the practice had acted upon their suggestions, for example improving communication by the introduction of a new telephone system.

The practice had gathered feedback from staff through staff meetings, appraisals and discussions. Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. Staff told us they felt involved and engaged in the practice to improve outcomes for both staff and patients.

### Management lead through learning and improvement

Staff told us that the practice supported them to maintain their clinical professional development through training and mentoring. We looked at five staff files chosen at random and saw that regular appraisals took place which included a personal development plan. Staff told us that the practice was very supportive of training and they had staff away days and protected time to carry out any learning.

# Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

The practice was a GP training practice. GP registrars were used at the practice as well as medical students from the Peninsular Medical School.

The practice had completed reviews of significant events and other incidents and shared with staff at meetings and away days to ensure the practice improved outcomes for patients.