

Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Ravensbury Park Medical Centre (1-5163829957)

Inspection date: 09 October 2019

Date of data download: 08 October 2019

Overall rating: Requires Improvement

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe **Rating: Requires Improvement**

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Y
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding which were accessible to all staff.	Y
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Y
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Y
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Y
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Y
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	Y
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y
Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Y
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The practice was using regular agency and locum staff. Records viewed confirmed that appropriate checks were being undertaken.	

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person. Date of last inspection/test: 17/09/2019	Y
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: 17/09/ 2019	Y
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y
There was a fire procedure.	Y
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: September 2019	Y
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: 25/07/2019	Y
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: 08/10/2019	Y
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: 1/10/2019	Y
There were fire marshals.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion: 30/09/2019	Y
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out. Date of last assessment: August 2019	Y
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: August 2019	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: We saw that the premises risk assessments had identified issues with pedestrian access to the building. These had been escalated to the relevant people who owned the building.	

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Y
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: January 2019	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
There was a system to notify Public Health England of suspected notifiable diseases.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Partial
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Partial
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Y
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Y
The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Partial
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Y
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Partial
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Staff at the practice explained an incident that occurred at the practice at the practice that resulted in short notice clinic cancellation due to not having enough doctors on site. Although there was an induction pack for locums, this did not cover areas such as antibiotic prescribing. However, following our inspection, the provider took swift action and updated the locum	

pack to include information relating to antibiotic prescribing.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Partial
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	N/A
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: We found instances where patient records were not accurately coded. This was known to the practice's management and they reported they were working to have a data cleansing exercise.	

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)</small>	0.92	0.71	0.87	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	8.7%	10.7%	8.6%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2019 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	6.24	5.87	5.63	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/01/2019 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	1.20	1.18	2.08	Tending towards variation (positive)

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	N/A
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Partial
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Y
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Partial
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Partial
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Though medicines prescribing was undertaken at the practice. There was no evidence of audits relating to the antibiotic prescribing. However, following our inspection. The practice sent us information showing they had performed well in the auditing of one type of antibiotic group audit that had been carried out by the CCG. • The practices oxygen tank was half full. We saw that action had been taken to order new supplies and these were due shortly. We also noted that some oxygen masks had expired at the end of September 2019. However, they were also some oxygen masks that were in date. Out of date oxygen masks these were dated 9/2019. • One of the fridges used for storing vaccines did not have an extra thermometer in one of the fridges. 	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months: Five	
Number of events that required action: One	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
GP session booked in error.	We saw that the practice had discussed this incident with action points identified for all staff.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
All medicines alerts were received on a clinical system used at the practice. A spreadsheet was available detailing all actions that had been taken. Examples of the most recent alerts received related to Direct-acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs).	

Effective

Rating: Requires Improvement

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools. However, some improvements are required.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Partial
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Partial
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice had not updated their guidelines Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) best practice. This meant there was a risk that patients were receiving care that was outdated. We saw evidence that patient's treatment was regularly reviewed. However due to the identified problems with records coding. There was a risk that some treatment reviews might have been missed. 	

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	0.68	0.50	0.75	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.

- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice carried out structured annual medication reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care. However, the practices performance for patients with diabetes and high blood pressure were lower than the CCG and National averages.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	79.2%	74.3%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	17.9% (72)	10.4%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	53.9%	69.7%	77.7%	Significant Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.2% (17)	8.2%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	66.3%	72.6%	80.1%	Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.7% (11)	9.9%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	72.7%	73.5%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.8% (2)	2.4%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	91.7%	90.8%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.2% (2)	6.2%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	67.8%	78.0%	82.6%	Significant Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.3% (36)	3.5%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	91.3%	86.4%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	8.0% (4)	6.1%	6.7%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

The practices performance for patients with diabetes and high blood pressure were lower than the CCG and National averages. However, the practice sent us data that demonstrated they were making improvements and the outcome for diabetes had improved.

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Requires Improvements

Findings

- The practice has not met the minimum 90% target for three of four childhood immunisation uptake indicators. The practice has not met the WHO based national target of 95% (the recommended standard for achieving herd immunity) for three of four childhood immunisation uptake indicators. Our data showed that there had been a significant reduction in the uptake rates for immunisations at the practice. The practice were aware that improvements were required in the uptake of childhood immunisations.
- The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- Staff had the appropriate skills and training to carry out reviews for this population group.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	56	62	90.3%	Met 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	58	74	78.4%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	57	74	77.0%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	57	74	77.0%	Below 80% uptake

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Any additional evidence or comments

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators

Practice

CCG

England

England

		average	average	comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)	69.2%	N/A	80% Target	Below 70% uptake
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3-year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	68.2%	67.6%	72.1%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	45.8%	48.3%	57.3%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	63.6%	71.2%	69.3%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	52.4%	50.9%	51.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments
 The practices uptake rates for cervical smear had slightly reduced from the previous years. The clinical staff explained the difficulties they had with encouraging patients to have these checks completed.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check. End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable. The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule. The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances. However, patients we spoke with and feedback provided showed that same day appointments available at the practice were not sufficient for the patient population.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- All staff had received dementia training in the last 12 months.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.0%	87.8%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	6.2%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	96.0%	88.9%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	5.0%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	100.0%	76.9%	83.0%	Significant Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	3.3%	6.6%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	534.7	528.0	537.5
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	95.7%	94.5%	96.2%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	4.2%	5.1%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
Quality improvement activity was targeted at the areas where there were concerns.	Y

The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y
--	---

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

Audits had been completed in the care of patients with diabetes and high blood pressure resulting in improvements to care for these patients.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Y

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	93.0%	94.4%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.1% (14)	0.6%	0.8%	N/A

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Y

Caring

Rating: Requires Improvement

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	None
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	N/A
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	N/A
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	N/A

Source	Feedback
Patients	We spoke with eight patients using the practice. All patients reported difficulties obtaining appointments at the practice. All patients reported that staff were caring and friendly however they were concerned due to the different staff they saw each time.
NHS Choices	The practices website contained mixed feedback, with some patients commenting on communication which was reported to be poor, unwelcoming and rude reception staff. However, one patient had reported having a pleasant experience at the practice.

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
5421.0	401.0	109.0	27.2%	2.01%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	78.4%	84.6%	88.9%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	76.5%	83.2%	87.4%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	93.7%	93.2%	95.5%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	58.2%	80.6%	82.9%	Variation (negative)

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was performing below average for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses from the national GP patient survey March 2019.

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Y

Any additional evidence

The practice in combination with the PPG reported to be undertaking internal patient surveys. However, we were not able to confirm the areas that had been identified for improvement.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients.	Patients reported being involved with decisions related to their care.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	92.1%	90.1%	93.4%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	83 patients 1%.
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	Written information was available to direct carers to the various avenues of support available to them.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	Staff told us if families had experienced bereavement, their usual GP contacted them.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Y
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partial
Patients were informed, and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Y

The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Y
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Y
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Y
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Y
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Responsive

Rating: Requires Improvement

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. However, improvements are required

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Partial
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Partial
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>Patients we spoke with on the day of the inspection reported concerns with getting appointments and continuity of care in particular for patients with long term condition. Based on the information provided to us, it appeared the practice was relying heavily on locum doctors. One patient explained that it was not possible for them to get more out of their appointment as they saw different doctors each time.</p>	

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am- 7pm
Tuesday	8am-8pm
Wednesday	8am-8:30pm
Thursday	8am-7pm
Friday	8am-7pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	8am-6:30pm
Tuesday	8am-7:30pm
Wednesday	8am-8pm
Thursday	8am-6:30pm
Friday	8am-6:30pm

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
5421.0	401.0	109.0	27.2%	2.01%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	87.5%	93.0%	94.5%	Tending towards variation (negative)

Any additional evidence or comments

--

Older people

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings

- Some patients reported not having a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable older patients to access appropriate services.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment. However, we found some gaps in the system used to code patients with long-term conditions. This was known to the practice who reported to be taking action to ensure peoples records were clearly coded.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients with long-term conditions to access appropriate services.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings

- Additional nurse appointments were available until 7pm on a Monday for school age children so that they did not need to miss school.
- It was policy for all parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child to be offered a same day appointment when necessary. However, the feedback provided by some patients was that this had recently changed due to a lack of appointments.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

Findings

- We found complaints relating to appointments access that were affecting all population groups.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and travellers.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients living in vulnerable circumstances to access appropriate services.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these accordingly.

Timely access to the service

People were not able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Partial
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Partial
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>. Staff at the practice showed us the emergency slots that were available at the practice and the process that was in place to accommodate urgent care needs. However, people with spoke with on the day of the inspection reported concerns getting appointments and getting their care prioritised.</p>	

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	49.9%	N/A	68.3%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	47.8%	68.4%	67.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	52.5%	67.0%	64.7%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	61.7%	73.6%	73.6%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

Though patient feedback from the GP patient survey is not flagged as having a huge statistical variation, the practices performance on all indicators relating to access all below average when compared to local and national data.

Source	Feedback
--------	----------

For example, NHS Choices	The majority of the feedback on the practice's website recently left was negative about access. Some patients reported difficulties getting through to the phone and often walked to the practice in order to get appointments. This appeared to be a common theme for patients.
--------------------------	--

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	SIX
Number of complaints we examined.	Two
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	Six
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
Availability of regular GPs	The practice had been in touch with the patient. This was an issue the practice were looking to resolve as it affected all patients.

Well-led

Rating: Requires Improvement

Leadership capacity and capability

There was a lack of compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at senior level within the practice and requirements are required.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Partial
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Partial
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Partial
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	N
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: During our inspection key lead persons within the practice explained to us the challenges they were facing relating to disagreements with the leadership of the practice and succession planning. We were reassured that the Clinical Commissioning Group and other external partners were now offering support and medication in attempt to resolve these issues.	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care, however the current concerns with a leadership disagreement meant these were not clearly demonstrated.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Partial
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Partial
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Partial
There was a lack of agreement amongst the partners that resulted in significant delays in addressing patient access and staffing resources. This in turn had negatively impacted on patient's experiences regarding continuity of care.	
There was no leadership development plan or succession plan for in the event one of the GP partners leaving or long-term absence. The practice manager explained that this was due to the delay in getting the partnership issues rectified.	

Culture

The practice culture did not effectively support high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Partial
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Partial
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Partial
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Due to the issues with the leadership of the practice it was not very clear if the arrangements to deal with any behaviours inconsistent with the vision and values of the practice.</p> <p>Staff explained to us they could raise concerns; however, we were worried with the time it had taken the leaders of the practice to recognise they had differences that required remedial action.</p>	

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Non-clinical staff	Reported feeling supported and able to raise concerns.

Governance arrangements

There were responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management, however improvements are required.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Partial
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Partial
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Partial
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Though the practice had governance structures in place, from our discussions with senior staff the practice had been going through a difficult patch</p>	

which meant it was not always clear from a leadership point of view who was responsible. At this inspection we found no significant concerns due to the lack of clarity, however there was risk of deterioration.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were processes for managing risks, issues and performance, however improvements are required.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Partial
There were processes to manage performance.	Partial
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Partial
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The practice has assurances systems in place, however they were on- going concerns with the lack of regular doctors. The practice relied on locum staff while this was to ensure patients received care, they had not considered the impact this would have on patient experiences.	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Continuous improvement and innovation

There was little evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Partial
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Partial
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Though they were systems and processed for learning and continuous improvement. The practice were not able to demonstrate this fully to us during this inspection as their focus were on other areas.	

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤ -3
Variation (positive)	> -3 and ≤ -2
Tending towards variation (positive)	> -2 and ≤ -1.5
No statistical variation	< 1.5 and > -1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥ 1.5 and < 2
Variation (negative)	≥ 2 and < 3
Significant variation (negative)	≥ 3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases, at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- **PHE:** Public Health England
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.