

# Care Quality Commission

## Inspection Evidence Table

### Mersham Medical Centre (1-849588361)

Inspection date: 3 July 2019

Date of data download: 04 July 2019

## Overall rating: Good

At the last inspection in October 2014 we rated the practice as good in all domains and population groups. We found no breaches of regulations and the areas we found the provider should make improvements were:

- Documentation of the practice's vision and strategic aims and objectives.
- Recruitment checks for staff before commencing employment.

At this inspection, we found that the provider had satisfactorily addressed these areas.

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

## Safe

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Y
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y

### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

#### Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y

Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Y

### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

#### The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y

### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

#### The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	6
Number of events that required action:	6

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
There was a delay in prescription workflow as it was not actioned on the day of request.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This incident was discussed with reception and administrative staff and the provider had put a system in place to ensure staff deal with the prescription workflow every day.</li> </ul>

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts for example, regarding sodium valproate and februxostat.	

## Effective

## Rating: Good

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

**Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.**

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHSBSA)	0.48	0.56	0.77	No statistical variation

## Older people

## Population group rating: Good

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.</li> <li>The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.</li> </ul>

- The practice carried out structured annual medication reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.

## People with long-term conditions

## Population group rating: Good

### Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension. For example, the practice had referred 30 patients (out of 116 patients referred in the local network) to the National diabetes prevention programme in 2018-19.
- The practice informed us they were rated first in the local network and ninth in the local Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) for national diabetes audit in 2018 for achieving three treatment targets.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	75.6%	74.6%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.9% (24)	11.0%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	88.7%	79.1%	77.7%	Tending towards variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.6% (20)	7.3%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	76.8%	78.9%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.6% (23)	11.0%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	89.4%	77.3%	76.0%	Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.7% (1)	3.5%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	96.0%	92.0%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.0% (1)	9.3%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	85.3%	81.2%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.9% (5)	3.0%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	90.0%	85.9%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	7.1%	6.7%	N/A

## Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Childhood immunisation uptake rates were below the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets. The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.</li> </ul>

The provider was working through an action plan to improve uptake for childhood immunisations.

- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- Staff had the appropriate skills and training to carry out reviews for this population group.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(NHS England)</small>	45	51	88.2%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(NHS England)</small>	44	52	84.6%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(NHS England)</small>	45	52	86.5%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(NHS England)</small>	43	52	82.7%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information:  
<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

### Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for

patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)	73.0%	70.0%	71.7%	No statistical variation
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3-year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	66.7%	67.2%	69.9%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5-year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	44.4%	46.5%	54.4%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	100.0%	83.4%	70.2%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	18.2%	55.3%	51.9%	No statistical variation

### Any additional evidence or comments

The provider had a practice champion who reviewed the cervical screening performance every week and booked appointments for patients to have this check. For 2017-18 the practice ranked first in the local network and sixth in the local Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) for cervical screening uptake.

**People whose circumstances make them vulnerable**

**Population group rating: Good**

### Findings

- Same day appointments and longer appointments were offered when required.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check; 90% of patients with learning disability had received a health check in the last year. During the last year the practice ranked second in the local network and tenth in the local Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) for completion of learning disability health checks.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice reviewed young patients at local residential homes.

### Any additional evidence or comments

The provider undertook a detailed review of all patients who died to ascertain actions for future improvement.

### People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

### Findings

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- Same day and longer appointments were offered when required.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	92.1%	90.0%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	9.3%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	92.1%	90.6%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	7.4%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with	92.9%	86.2%	83.0%	No statistical

dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <sup>(QOF)</sup>				variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	3.0%	6.6%	N/A

### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	552.5	537.3	537.5
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	98.8%	96.1%	96.2%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	4.2%	5.5%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
Quality improvement activity was targeted at the areas where there were concerns.	Y
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

<p>The provider undertook five clinical audits in the past two years, of which three were completed cycle clinical audits in which changes were implemented and monitored.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For example, the practice undertook a clinical audit to ascertain the use of high dose inhalers for patients with respiratory disorders and to reduce the dosage according to evidence-based guidelines. In the first cycle of this audit the practice found 15 patients who were on high dose inhalers; the practice reviewed these patients and reduced the dosage of inhalers for seven patients. In the second cycle after changes had been implemented including discussing the results in a meeting, the practice found 30 patients who were on high dose inhalers; the practice reviewed these patients and found all were on appropriate dosage.</li> </ul> <p>However, we found these audits were not written up to ensure dissemination and learning for staff.</p>
---

### Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and	Y

treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Partial
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y
Some of the staff had not completed infection prevention and control and fire safety training appropriate to their role. After we raised this issue with the provider they informed us they had booked training for these staff and sent us evidence to support this the day following the inspection.	

### Coordinating care and treatment

#### Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Y

#### Any additional evidence or comments

The provider held fortnightly GP huddles supported by the local Clinical Commissioning Group.

## Helping patients to live healthier lives

### Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	97.7%	95.8%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.2% (2)	0.5%	0.8%	N/A

## Consent to care and treatment

### The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Y

## Responsive

Rating: Good

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Y
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y

### Any additional evidence or comments

Patients were informed about multilingual staff; staff could speak languages including Urdu, Hindi, Punjabi, Tamil, Bulgarian and Spanish.

### Practice Opening Times

Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am to 6:30pm
Tuesday	8am to 6:30pm
Wednesday	8am to 6:30pm
Thursday	8am to 6:30pm
Friday	8am to 6:30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	8:30am to 12:30pm and 4pm to 6pm
Tuesday	8:30am to 12:30pm and 4pm to 6pm
Wednesday	8:30am to 12:30pm and 4pm to 6pm
Thursday	8:30am to 12:30pm and 4pm to 6pm
Friday	8:30am to 12:30pm and 4pm to 6pm
Extended hours appointments	Wednesdays 6:30pm to 8pm and Fridays 7:30am to 8am

## National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
4163	412	95	23.1%	2.28%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	97.5%	93.8%	94.8%	No statistical variation

### Older people

Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable older patients to access appropriate services.
- The patients had access to social prescribing schemes through three local hubs where they can participate in exercise classes, obtain legal advice or socialise with others.
- The provider supported the needs of 14 patients in a local care home.

### People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients with long-term conditions to access appropriate services.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

### Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

**Working age people (including those recently retired and students)**

**Population group rating: Good**

**Findings**

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open until 8pm on Wednesdays. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation. Appointments were available from 6:30pm to 8pm Monday to Friday and between 9am and 3pm on Saturdays. Pre-bookable appointments and walk-in service were also available on three local GP hubs from 8am to 8pm seven days a week.
- The patients could ask queries to the GPs and nurses through the practice website and these were monitored by reception staff.

**People whose circumstances make them vulnerable**

**Population group rating: Good**

**Findings**

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and travellers.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients living in vulnerable circumstances to access appropriate services.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

**People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)**

**Population group rating: Good**

**Findings**

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these accordingly.

## Timely access to the service

### People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Y
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Y

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	90.5%	N/A	70.3%	Variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	88.3%	71.0%	68.6%	Tending towards variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	75.3%	67.7%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	86.6%	73.3%	74.4%	No statistical variation

Source	Feedback
NHS Choices	The practice had received 4/5 stars based on eight ratings. Practice had responded to all positive and negative comments.

## Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

### Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	2
Number of complaints we examined.	2

Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	2
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y

## Well-led

## Rating: Good

### Leadership capacity and capability

**Leaders could not demonstrate that they had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.**

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y

### Vision and strategy

**The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.**

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Y
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y

### Culture

**The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.**

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y

Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Interviews with staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Staff we spoke to indicated they felt supported and said they could always discuss concerns with the management and that staff respect each other.</li> </ul>

### Governance arrangements

**There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.**

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y

### Managing risks, issues and performance

**There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.**

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and	Y

sustainability was assessed.	
------------------------------	--

### Appropriate and accurate information

**There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.**

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partial
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Y
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Y
Any unusual access was identified and followed up.	Y

### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

**The practice involved public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.**

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
<p>The practice informed us they had made the following changes in response to patient feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide early morning and evening appointments.</li> <li>• Provided a self-check in screen at reception.</li> <li>• Provide an information screen in the waiting area for patient information.</li> </ul>	

## Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

### Feedback

The practice had an active Patient Participation Group (PPG) with 25 members which met every three months. The PPG chair informed us that usually 15-20 people attend the meeting. Each meeting had a fixed agenda including key points of discussion, decisions and actions.

During the last two years the PPG had organised talks for patients on topics such as dementia, diabetes, exercise for the elderly, nutrition, domestic violence, abuse and mental health.

## Continuous improvement and innovation

**There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.**

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y

### Examples of continuous learning and improvement

There was a focus on learning and improvement. For example, the provider developed a template to review deaths and they undertook a detailed review of all patients who died to ascertain actions for future improvement.

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	$\leq -3$
Variation (positive)	$> -3$ and $\leq -2$
Tending towards variation (positive)	$> -2$ and $\leq -1.5$
No statistical variation	$< 1.5$ and $> 1.5$
Tending towards variation (negative)	$\geq 1.5$ and $< 2$
Variation (negative)	$\geq 2$ and $< 3$
Significant variation (negative)	$\geq 3$

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:  
<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

**Glossary of terms used in the data.**

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- **PHE:** Public Health England
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.