

We are the regulator: Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.

ExtraCare Charitable Trust Rosewood Court

Irthingborough Road, Wellingborough, NN8 1LQ

Tel: 01933222828

Date of Inspection: 27 November 2012

Date of Publication: January 2013

We inspected the following standards as part of a routine inspection. This is what we found:

Respecting and involving people who use services	✓ Met this standard
---	---------------------

Care and welfare of people who use services	✓ Met this standard
--	---------------------

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	✓ Met this standard
--	---------------------

Requirements relating to workers	✓ Met this standard
---	---------------------

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision	✓ Met this standard
--	---------------------

Details about this location

Registered Provider	The ExtraCare Charitable Trust
Registered Manager	Miss Claire Irving
Overview of the service	<p>Rosewood Court is a supported living complex managed by The Extra Care Charitable Trust. The service is situated close to the town centre of Wellingborough and offers support to older people. People either own their property on site or have a tenancy agreement. Many of the current tenants have support from the in house personal care agency. Support is assessed and provided within a banding of 5 levels of care.</p>
Type of service	Extra Care housing services
Regulated activities	<p>Diagnostic and screening procedures</p> <p>Personal care</p> <p>Treatment of disease, disorder or injury</p>

Contents

When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'.

	Page
Summary of this inspection:	
Why we carried out this inspection	4
How we carried out this inspection	4
What people told us and what we found	4
More information about the provider	4
Our judgements for each standard inspected:	
Respecting and involving people who use services	5
Care and welfare of people who use services	6
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	7
Requirements relating to workers	8
Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision	9
About CQC Inspections	10
How we define our judgements	11
Glossary of terms we use in this report	13
Contact us	15

Summary of this inspection

Why we carried out this inspection

This was a routine inspection to check that essential standards of quality and safety referred to on the front page were being met. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

This was an unannounced inspection.

How we carried out this inspection

We carried out a visit on 27 November 2012, observed how people were being cared for, talked with people who use the service and talked with carers and / or family members. We talked with staff.

What people told us and what we found

People we spoke with on the visit told us that they were treated with respect and dignity at all times by the staff who supplied domiciliary care from ExtraCare at Rosewood Court. We saw that staff always knocked on the front doors of residences and awaited a reply before entering.

One of the people we spoke with said "the staff are very good, I feel safe living here." Another person commented " they are very kind, it was a good move for me to come and live here."

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

More information about the provider

Please see our website www.cqc.org.uk for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

Our judgements for each standard inspected

Respecting and involving people who use services ✓ Met this standard

People should be treated with respect, involved in discussions about their care and treatment and able to influence how the service is run

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People's privacy, dignity and independence were respected and their views and experiences were taken into account in the way the service was provided and delivered in relation to their care.

Reasons for our judgement

People we spoke with on the visit told us that they were treated with respect and dignity at all times by the staff who supplied domiciliary care from ExtraCare at Rosewood Court. We saw that staff always knocked on the front doors of residences and awaited a reply before entering.

We saw evidence in care plans and by speaking with the people who used the service that a full and comprehensive assessment of their needs were taken into account on joining the community.

People told us and we saw evidence in care plans that the people who used the service were included in any decisions regarding their care and this was reviewed on an annual basis. People do have the right not to use the personal support service from Extra Care and choose another provider if they desire.

People expressed their views and were involved in making decisions about their care and treatment

People should get safe and appropriate care that meets their needs and supports their rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People experienced care, treatment and support that met their needs and protected their rights.

Reasons for our judgement

People's needs were assessed and care and treatment was planned and delivered in line with their individual care plan.

We examined the care plans of two people who used the service. The plans were written in a clear and understandable way. The plans showed that the persons had their needs and support fully assessed. The plans were agreed and signed by the people who used the service.

We saw that the care plans contained a range of risk assessments this meant that people who used the service were not at risk and that care workers were clear on how to support them.

We spoke with care staff who were able to explain to us the needs of the people who used the service in regards to their care and welfare.

One of the people we spoke with said "the staff are very good, I feel safe living here."

Another person commented "they are very kind, it was a good move for me to come and live here."



People should be protected from abuse and staff should respect their human rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People who use the service were protected from the risk of abuse, because the provider had taken reasonable steps to identify the possibility of abuse and prevent abuse from happening.

Reasons for our judgement

We asked staff what action they would take if they were worried about a person's safety or welfare and they demonstrated through discussions that they knew what to do. Staff told us they have had training in safeguarding measures to protect vulnerable people from harm. We saw examples of records that confirmed staff attendance at training sessions.

There was a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place so that staff could raise concerns about abusive or poor practice.

Staff told us that they had no fear in raising concerns with management regarding a person's care and welfare within the home.

Staff told us they got the mandatory training they needed to carry out their duties safely and effectively.

Staff demonstrated through discussions that they understood what was expected of them when they supported and cared for people who lacked the capacity to make informed decisions about their care.

Requirements relating to workers

✓ Met this standard

People should be cared for by staff who are properly qualified and able to do their job

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People were cared for, or supported by, suitably qualified, skilled and experienced staff.

Reasons for our judgement

We looked at the recruitment and selection procedures carried out by the ExtraCare Charitable Trust. Records showed that staff had to provide two references and a copy of their Criminal Records Bureau check prior to any commencement of work at the home. Staff also had to demonstrate that they were legally entitled to work in the United Kingdom. The provider ensured that staff were registered with relevant professional regulators or professional body where necessary and were allowed to work by that body.

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision

✓ Met this standard

The service should have quality checking systems to manage risks and assure the health, welfare and safety of people who receive care

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

The provider had an effective system to regularly assess and monitor the quality of service that people receive.

Reasons for our judgement

People who used the service, their representatives and staff were asked for their views about their care and treatment and they were acted on. Decisions about care and treatment were made by the appropriate staff at the appropriate level, there was evidence that learning from incidents / investigations took place and appropriate changes were implemented and the provider took account of complaints and comments to improve the service.

About CQC inspections

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of dentists and other services at least once every two years. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times but we always inspect at least one standard from each of the five key areas every year. We may check fewer key areas in the case of dentists and some other services.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.


You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.


How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

 **Met this standard** This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.

 **Action needed** This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.

 **Enforcement action taken** If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. We make a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation) from the breach. This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

Minor impact – people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

Moderate impact – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

Major impact – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

Glossary of terms we use in this report

Essential standard

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

Regulated activity

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

(Registered) Provider

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

Regulations

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

Responsive inspection

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

Routine inspection

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

Themed inspection

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

Contact us

Phone:	03000 616161
--------	--------------

Email:	enquiries@ccq.org.uk
--------	--

Write to us at:	Care Quality Commission Citygate Gallowgate Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4PA
-----------------	---

Website:	www.cqc.org.uk
----------	--

Copyright Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.
