Follow up Report

We are the regulator: *Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.*

Queens Crescent Dental Practice

3 Queens Crescent, Burgess Hill, RH15 9DR Tel: 01444871879

Date of Publication: August 2014

We followed up on our inspection of 12 September 2013 to check that action had been taken to meet the following standard(s). We have not revisited Queens Crescent Dental Practice as part of this review because Queens Crescent Dental Practice were able to demonstrate that they were meeting the standards without the need for a visit. This is what we found:

Fees	✓ Met this standard
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	✓ Met this standard

Details about this location

Registered Provider	Queens Crescent Dental Practice Limited
Registered Manager	Mr Hercules Naude
Overview of the service	The practice provides both NHS and private dental care to adults and children living in Haywards Heath and surrounding areas.
Type of service	Dental service
Regulated activities	Diagnostic and screening procedures Surgical procedures Treatment of disease, disorder or injury

Contents

When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'

	Page
Summary of this follow up review:	
Why we carried out this review	4
How we carried out this review	4
What we found about the standards we followed up	4
More information about the provider	4
Our judgements for each standard reviewed:	
Fees	5
Safeguarding people who use services from abuse	6
About CQC Inspections	7
How we define our judgements	8
Glossary of terms we use in this report	10
Contact us	12

Summary of this follow up review

Why we carried out this review

We carried out an inspection on 12 September 2013 and published a report setting out our judgements. We asked the provider to send us a report of the changes they would make to comply with the standards they were not meeting.

We have followed up to make sure that the necessary changes have been made and found the provider is now meeting the standard(s) included within this report. This report should be read in conjunction with the full inspection report.

We have not revisited Queens Crescent Dental Practice as part of this review because Queens Crescent Dental Practice were able to demonstrate that they were meeting the standards without the need for a visit.

How we carried out this review

We reviewed information given to us by the provider.

We have not revisited Queens Crescent Dental Practice as part of this review.

What we found about the standards we followed up

Our last inspection on 12 September 2013 identified some concerns that not all patients were provided with written treatment plans which set out the costs. We found that the provider had procedures in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adults but not all staff had been subject to employment checks that would help ensure they were suitable to work with children or vulnerable adults.

We asked the provider to send us a report of the changes they would make to comply with the standards they were not meeting.

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

More information about the provider

Please see our website www.cqc.org.uk for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

Our judgements for each standard reviewed

Fees ✓ Met this standard

People who pay for a service should know how much they have to pay, what they are paying for, how to pay, and when to pay for it

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

Where patients were responsible for paying for the costs of their care or treatment the provider provided a written statement to the patient specifying the terms and conditions in respect of the services to be provided, including the amount and method of payment of fees.

Reasons for our judgement

At our inspection on 12 September 2013 we identified some concerns that not all patients were provided with written treatment plans which set out the costs.

The provider wrote to us following the inspection to tell us what action would be taken to address this. The provider told us that they would be compliant by 30 November 2013.

The provider sent us evidence of the actions they had taken. We received records showing that all patients had been provided with a written treatment plan showing the cost of their treatment. We saw that they had been signed by the patients to show their agreement to the costs.

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse ✓ Met this standard

People should be protected from abuse and staff should respect their human rights

Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

Patients who use the service were protected from the risk of abuse, because the provider had taken reasonable steps to identify the possibility of abuse and prevent abuse from happening.

Reasons for our judgement

At our inspection on 12 September 2013 we found that the provider had procedures in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adults but not all staff had been subject to employment checks that would help ensure they were suitable to work with children or vulnerable adults.

The provider wrote to us following the inspection to tell us what action would be taken to address this. The provider told us that they would be compliant by 30 November 2013.

The provider sent us evidence of the actions they had taken. We saw that the adult protection policy had details of the types of abuse, recognising signs of abuse and the procedures for reporting suspected abuse. The policy for adult protection included the telephone numbers for key contacts in the local authority which meant that staff would know who to contact if they had any concerns. There was a flow chart for staff to follow if they suspected abuse that included emergency contact details.

The provider sent us a risk assessment for two members of staff working at the practice who had not been subject to relevant police checks, in order to confirm their suitability to work with children and vulnerable adults. Dentists who worked at the practice had been subject to relevant checks as part of their dental registration.

About CQC inspections

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of other services less often. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.

You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.

How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

✓ **Met this standard**	This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.
✖ **Action needed**	This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.
✖ **Enforcement action taken**	If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. Only where there is non compliance with one or more of Regulations 9-24 of the Regulated Activity Regulations, will our report include a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation). This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

Minor impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

Moderate impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

Major impact - people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

Glossary of terms we use in this report

Essential standard

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

Regulated activity

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

(Registered) Provider

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

Regulations

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

Responsive inspection

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

Routine inspection

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

Themed inspection

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

Contact us

Phone:	03000 616161
Email:	enquiries@cqc.org.uk
Write to us at:	Care Quality Commission Citygate Gallowgate Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4PA
Website:	www.cqc.org.uk

Copyright Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.